

STATE OF FLORIDA  
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION  
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS



FILED

Feb 06, 2023, 12:00 pm

OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

[REDACTED]  
PETITIONER,

AHCA Case No.: 22-FH1782

vs.

AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE  
ADMINISTRATION,

RESPONDENT.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

**FINAL ORDER**

Pursuant to notice, the undersigned convened a telephonic Fair Hearing on the instant case on November 30, 2022, at 1:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time ("EST").

**APPEARANCES**

For the Petitioner:

[REDACTED]  
Petitioner's Authorized Representative

For the Respondent:

Lee Ann Williams  
Medicaid Health Care Program Analyst  
Agency for Health Care Administration

**STATEMENT OF ISSUE**

The issue is whether Petitioner proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's denial of Petitioner's request for additional Behavior Analysis ("BA") services was incorrect.

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

All parties appeared for the Fair Hearing telephonically. [REDACTED]  
Petitioner's Authorized Representative and the Administrator of [REDACTED],

appeared for the Fair Hearing as Petitioner's representative. Walter Guzman ("Mr. Guzman"), Clinical Director for [REDACTED], testified on behalf of Petitioner.

Lee Ann Williams, Medical Health Care Program Analyst for the Agency for Health Care Administration ("Agency" or "AHCA"), appeared for the Fair Hearing as a representative for Respondent. Dr. Joseph ("Dr. Darling"), Director of Clinical Operations for eQHealth Solutions - Florida, appeared for the Fair

Petitioner did not introduce any exhibits at the Fair Hearing. Respondent introduced a one hundred and twenty three (123)-page evidence packet, which appears in the Office of Fair Hearings' case management system as "[REDACTED] FH 11.30.2022.pdf." Without objection the evidence packet was admitted into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1. Respondent also introduced a forty-nine (49) page evidence packet, which appears in the Office of Fair Hearings' case management system as "22-FH1782 AHCA Evidence Packet.pdf." Without objection, this evidence packet was admitted into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2.

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Petitioner receives Medicaid services on a fee-for-service basis through the Agency. *See* Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1 at page 16.
2. eQHealth is a Quality Improvement Organization ("QIO") contracted by the Respondent to review prior authorization requests for services. *See* Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2 at page 2. Respondent, through contractual agreement, authorizes eQHealth to make medical necessity determinations for services requiring prior authorization, including BA services. *Id.*

3. Petitioner is a [REDACTED] diagnosed with [REDACTED]. See Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1 at page 16. Petitioner's BA provider, [REDACTED], identified the following behaviors as maladaptive in the "Initial Assessment Behavior Report 2022– Reconsideration Request 8/3/22" ("Treatment Plan"): [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 86. The parties agree that Petitioner engages in maladaptive behaviors that threaten access to typical environments and negatively affect [REDACTED] activities of daily living. *Id.* at 80.

4. On August 2, 2022, Respondent issued a Notice of Outcome ("NOO") denying Petitioner's request for additional BA services based on medical necessity. *Id.* at 24-25. The NOO states as follows:

Code: H2019 Behavior Analysis – Lead Analyst  
From: 7/19/2022  
Thru: 1/14/2022  
Total Units Approved - 210

Code: H2014 Behavior Analysis – Technician  
From: 7/19/2022  
Thru: 1/14/2022  
Total Units Denied – 1,092  
Total Units Approved – 2,808

The request for services is denied in whole or in part because they are not medically necessary as defined in Rule 59G-1.010, Florida Administrative Code. Specifically, the requested services are not medically necessary under the following standard(s):

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs.

...

PR Principal Reason - Denial:

Submitted information does not support the medical necessity for requested frequency and/or duration.

PR Clinical Rationale – Denial: According to the Florida Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy requests for services must be based on the medical necessity of the recipient’s maladaptive behaviors. The recipient is engaging in problem behaviors that threaten access to typical environments and negatively affects activities of daily living. The provider is using a tiered service delivery model and has not made a compelling justification for services at the intensity requested. The requested hours of ABA services are in excess of medical necessity.

*Id.*

5. On August 5, 2022, Respondent issued a Notice of Reconsideration Determination (“NRD”) upholding the denial of additional BA services based on medical necessity. *Id.* at 35-36.

The NRD states as follows:

The reason for the denial is that the services are not medically necessary as defined in 59G-1.010, Florida Administrative Code. Specifically the services must be:

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.

The rationale for our decision is as follows:

PR Recon Determination: At reconsideration all documents were carefully reviewed. The provider did not submit any new documentation that supports the medical necessity of this request. According to the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, (page6, 9.0.c-d) the recipient of ABA therapy services must engage in maladaptive behavior that interferes with the recipient’s daily functioning. Although the recipient is engaging in topographies of maladaptive behaviors, the frequency and intensity of the maladaptive do not support the request for services. This reconsideration request has been reviewed, reconsidered and the partial denial is upheld.

*Id.*

6. On September 22, 2022, Petitioner requested a Fair Hearing based on Respondent’s decision to deny additional BA services. *Id.* at 8. On September 14, 2022, the Office of Fair Hearings issued an order scheduling the Fair Hearing for November 30, 2022, and all parties were duly notified.

7. Mr. Guzman testified that Petitioner was receiving 38 hours of BA services per week when [REDACTED] lived at home with [REDACTED]. Mr. Guzman argued that the additional BA hours at issue are medically necessary to improve Petitioner's behaviors and to keep [REDACTED] safe. Mr. Guzman testified that Petitioner moved to a group home setting in June 2022. Petitioner has been [REDACTED] at the group home, has been [REDACTED], and engages in [REDACTED]. The additional hours are warranted because Petitioner is in a new, group home environment. Petitioner has and has new demands and group home staff needs to be trained to redirect [REDACTED].

8. Dr. Darling established that three experienced Board Certified Behavior Analysts at eQHealth reviewed the Treatment Plan and determined that the authorized units were sufficient to implement the Treatment Plan as written. *Id.* 19. Dr. Darling agreed with the previous eQHealth reviewers that BA services are medically necessary for Petitioner; however, he asserted that the requested additional BA services are not "individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment" and are "in excess of the patient's needs." The first reviewer did not find enough justification in the Treatment Plan to justify the intensity of the BA services requested. *Id.* at 19. The second reviewer, a BCBA at the doctoral level, found that the Treatment Plan does not show that the intensity of the behaviors justifies the intensity of the BA services requested. *Id.* at 20. The third reviewer, also a BCBA at the doctoral level, reviewed the Treatment Plan and determined that the approved units are adequate to implement the Treatment Plan as written. *Id.*

9. Dr. Darling established that the Treatment Plan is not consistent with generally accepted professional standards in the field of BA services. First, the Treatment Plan asserts that 38 hours per week should be "maintained." However, for the previous authorization period of March 30,

2022 – September 25, 2022, Petitioner was authorized by eQHealth for a total of 28 hours per week of BA services (24 hours of RBT services and 4 hours of lead analyst services). Second, Dr. Darling established that an effective Treatment Plan not only identifies maladaptive behaviors, which should decrease through treatment, but also identifies replacement behaviors to be taught and which should increase over the course of effective treatment. The Treatment Plan does not sufficiently describe the components for teaching and successfully moving Petitioner through the replacement behavior goals. The goals identified are unrealistic and lack sufficient detail describing how Petitioner will reach the stated level of proficiency. *Id.* at 89. Finally, standards of applied BA recognize the practice guideline of a 10:2 ratio (or 20%) for RBT services. The Treatment Plan is inconsistent with BA standards because the ratio is [REDACTED]. Thus, only about 5% of the RBT's time is directly overseen by lead analyst to ensure BA services is done correctly. The Treatment Plan has the RBT engage in group home staff training, *Id.* at 102, and that is not an appropriate RBT role per the BA practice guidelines.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

10. Pursuant to section 409.285(2), Florida Statutes (2019), the Agency's Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and the parties. This Final Order is the final administrative decision of AHCA.

11. Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(b), this hearing was held as a *de novo* proceeding.

12. Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(g), the burden of proof is as follows:

The burden of proof is on the party asserting the affirmative of an issue, except as otherwise required by statute. The burden of proof is on the Agency or plan, whichever is applicable, when the issue presented is the suspension, reduction, or reduction of a previously authorized service. The burden of proof is on the

recipient or enrollee, when the issue presented is the denial or a limited authorization of a service. The party with the burden of proof shall establish its position to the satisfaction of the Hearing Officer by a preponderance of the evidence.

13. Because Petitioner is requesting additional BA services, the burden of proof is on the Petitioner. *See Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(g)*. The standard of proof in an administrative hearing is a preponderance of the evidence. *Id.* The preponderance of the evidence standard requires proof by “the greater weight of the evidence” (Black’s Law Dictionary at 1201, 7th Ed.).

14. The Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (“BA Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-4.125, governs Behavior Analysis services available to Medicaid recipients in the State of Florida. *See Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 at pages 38 - 47.* The BA Policy states as follows:

**1.0 Introduction**

Behavior analysis (BA) services are highly structured interventions, strategies, and approaches provided to decrease maladaptive behaviors and increase or reinforce appropriate behaviors.

**1.1 Florida Medicaid Policies**

This policy is intended for use by providers that render BA services to eligible Florida Medicaid recipients. It must be used in conjunction with Florida Medicaid’s General Policies (as defined in section 1.3) and any applicable service-specific and claim reimbursement policies with which providers must comply.

Note: All Florida Medicaid policies are promulgated in Rule Division 59G, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Coverage policies are available on the Agency Web site at <http://ahca.myflorida.com/Medicaid/review/index.shtml>.

...

**1.4 Definitions**

The following definitions are applicable to this policy. For additional definitions that are applicable to all sections of Rule Division 59G, F.A.C., please refer to the Florida Medicaid definitions policy.

**1.4.4 Lead Analyst**

Practitioner responsible for the implementation of BA services including: the completion and review of behavior assessments, reassessments, behavior plans, and behavior plan reviews.

#### **1.4.5 Medically Necessary/Medical Necessity**

As defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.

...

### **4.0 Coverage Information**

#### **4.1 General Criteria**

Florida Medicaid covers services that meet all of the following:

- Are determined medically necessary
- Do not duplicate another service
- Meet the criteria as specified in this policy

#### **4.2 Specific Criteria**

Florida Medicaid covers the following BA services in accordance with the applicable Florida Medicaid fee schedule(s), or as specified in this policy:

##### **4.2.2 Behavior Analysis**

Up to 40 hours per week, per recipient, consisting of services identified on the recipient's behavior plan in order to reduce maladaptive behaviors and to restore the recipient to   or her best possible functional level. Services include:

- Implementing behavior analysis interventions, and monitoring and assessing the recipient's progress towards goals in the behavior plan
- Behavior analysis interventions, for example, discrete trial teaching, task analysis training, differential reinforcement, non-contingent reinforcement, conducting task analyses of complex responses, and teaching using chaining, prompting, fading, shaping, response cost, and extinction
- Training the recipient's family, caregiver(s), and other involved persons on the implementation of the behavior plan and intervention strategies (the recipient must be present when clinically appropriate)

#### **4.3 Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment**

As required by federal law, Florida Medicaid provides services to eligible recipients under the age of 21 years, if such services are medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect, a condition, or a physical or mental illness. Included are diagnostic services, treatment, equipment, supplies, and other measures described in section 1905(a) of the Social Security Act, codified in Title 42 of the United States Code 1396d(a). As such, services for recipients under the age of 21 years exceeding the coverage described within this policy or the associated fee schedule may be approved, if medically necessary. For more information, please refer to Florida Medicaid's General Policies on authorization requirements.

...

## **6.0 Documentation**

### **6.1 General Criteria**

For information on general documentation requirements, please refer to Florida Medicaid's General Policies on recordkeeping and documentation.

...

### **6.2 Specific Criteria**

Providers must maintain the following documentation in the recipient's file:

- Behavior assessment, and assessment review that must be reviewed and signed by a lead analyst;
- Behavior plan, and behavior plan review that must be reviewed and signed by a lead analyst;
- Notations when the recipient's family or caregiver is not able to participate in BA services, and instances when it was clinically inappropriate for the recipient to be present during training services; and
- Written physician's order.

...

## **7.0 Authorization**

### **7.1 General Criteria**

The authorization information described below is applicable to the fee-for-service delivery system. For more information on general authorization requirements, please refer to Florida Medicaid's General Policies on authorization requirements.

### **7.2 Specific Criteria**

Providers must obtain authorization from the quality improvement organization (QIO) prior to the initiation of BA services and at least every 180 days thereafter.

Providers may request authorization more frequently upon a change in the recipient's condition requiring an increase or decrease in services.

The QIO uses the review criteria specified in section 9.0 for the first level review. For more information on how the QIO uses the criteria in the review process, please refer to Florida Medicaid's General Policies on authorization requirements.

*Id.*

15. The BA Policy's Appendix states the following review criteria:

Behavior analysis (BA) services are considered as either the treatment of choice or as an adjunct treatment modality for a variety of conditions and disorders where maladaptive behaviors are part of the recipient's clinical presentation, including behavioral manifestations of diagnoses such as Autism Spectrum Disorder and other behavioral health conditions.

### **Critical Elements Necessary for ANY Type of Behavior Analysis Service:**

The following critical elements **MUST** be satisfied to qualify for BA services:

- a. Eligibility – the recipient must meet all criteria for Behavior Analysis services as outlined in the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, Rule 59G-4.125, F.A.C.;
- b. **Medical necessity** – the recipient must meet medical necessity criteria as outlined in in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.;
- c. The recipient currently engages in maladaptive behaviors; and
- d. These maladaptive behaviors interfere with the recipient’s daily functioning.

...

**2. Criteria for Behavior Analysis Services and Reassessments - ALL of the following MUST be satisfied:**

- a. **ALL** critical elements are met
- b. An assessment or, if applicable, a reassessment, authored by a lead analyst, is provided. An assessment of the maladaptive behavior(s) is a necessary element of the process of identifying the frequency and magnitude of the behaviors as well as the variables associated with the occurrence of the maladaptive behavior(s). This helps in defining what are the functional consequences of the problem behavior(s) so that an adequate behavior plan can be implemented. This (re)assessment **MUST** include, at a minimum, **ALL** of the following:
  - i. A clear operational description of the maladaptive behavior(s)
  - ii. Baseline and/or updated treatment data (if reassessment)
  - iii. Progress toward identified goals (if a reassessment)
  - iv. Identification of the events, times, and situations that appear to be associated to the occurrence of the maladaptive behavior(s)
  - v. Identification of the functional consequences of the maladaptive behavior(s)
  - vi. Development of hypotheses and summary statements that describe the maladaptive behavior(s) and its(their) functions
  - vii. Summary and recommendations
- c. A behavior plan authored or updated by a lead analyst. The behavior plan is the cornerstone of the delivery of behavior analysis services and it is based on the information obtained in the assessment. It proposes specific interventions to reduce or eliminate the maladaptive behavior. These interventions take into consideration the variables, both present before the behavior, as well as after the behavior, that influence the occurrence of the maladaptive behavior(s). This plan also includes replacement appropriate behaviors for the recipient to engage in instead of the maladaptive behaviors in order to obtain the same function. The plan must be detailed enough to warrant the requested services and include mechanisms to monitor its effectiveness. This **MUST** include, at a minimum, **ALL** of the following:
  - i. Observable and measurable descriptions of the maladaptive behavior(s)

- ii. Identified function of the maladaptive behavior(s) behavior as a result of the assessment or reassessment conducted
- iii. Goals and strategies for changing the maladaptive behavior(s)
- iv. Written detailed description of when, where, and how often these goals will be addressed and proposed strategies will be implemented
- v. System for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the plan
- vi. Safety and crisis plan, if applicable
- vii. Summary and recommendations
- viii. Discharge criteria
- ix. Transition Plan (if applicable)

NOTE: Although the assessment and behavior plan were addressed separately in section 2, both of them can be submitted as a single document.

...

**3. Criteria for Continuation of Treatment at the Present Level and/or Using Current Methods:** Providers must ensure that ALL of the following criteria are met to request continuation of treatment at the present level or using the current methods. If criteria for 3a is met, but criteria for 3b and/or 3c are not met, then a reduction of the treatment level and/or change of treatment methods may be warranted.

...

- a. **ALL** criteria listed in 2a, 2b, and 2c regarding critical elements, assessment or reassessment, and behavior plan, are met.
- b. The data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan.
- c. The level of functional impairment justifies continuation of BA services. The reviewer utilizes the information provided below as a guide as it relates to the level of functional impairment as expressed through the following behaviors:
  - i. Safety – aggression, self-injury, property destruction, elopement
  - ii. Communication – problems with expressive/receptive language, poor understanding or use of non-verbal communications, stereotyped, repetitive language
  - iii. Self-stimulating, abnormal, inflexible, or intense preoccupations
  - iv. Self-care – difficulty recognizing risks or danger, grooming, eating, or toileting
  - v. Other – behaviors not identified above

Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 at pages 45-47.

16. States must provide Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (“EPSDT”) services to Medicaid-eligible children under age 21 when requested under the Medicaid state

plan. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43); 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(a)(4). According to 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(r)(5),

EPSDT services mean, in relevant part, the following items and services:

Such other necessary health care, diagnostic services, treatment, and other measures described in subsection (a) of this section to correct or ameliorate defects and physical and mental illness and conditions discovered by the screen services, whether or not such services are covered under the state plan.

17. Petitioner is under age 21, and therefore eligible for EPSDT services. However, a state may place appropriate limits on a service based on such criteria as medical necessity. *See* 42 C.F.R. §§ 440.230(a), (b), (d). Section 409.905(2), Florida Statutes, limits EPSDT services with a medical necessity standard:

The [Agency] shall pay for early and periodic screening and diagnosis of a recipient under age 21 to ascertain physical and mental problems and conditions and all services determined by the agency to be medically necessary for the treatment, correction, or amelioration of these problems and conditions, including personal care, private duty nursing, durable medical equipment, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, respiratory therapy, and immunizations.

18. The Florida Medicaid Definitions Policy (“Definitions Policy”) (August 2017), which is incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010, defines Medical Necessity as:

**2.83 Medically Necessary or Medical Necessity**

The medical or allied care, goods, or services furnished or ordered must meet the following conditions:

- Be necessary to protect life, to prevent significant illness or significant disability, or to alleviate severe pain
- Be individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs
- Be consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational
- Be reflective of the level of service that can be safely furnished, and for which no equally effective and more conservative or less costly treatment is available statewide
- Be furnished in a manner not primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient, the recipient's caretaker, or the provider

The fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service.

Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2 at page 23.

19. The Florida Medicaid Authorization Requirements Policy ("Authorization Requirements Policy") (June 2016), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.053, provides general requirements for providers to obtain authorization to render Florida Medicaid services.

The Authorization Requirements Policy states:

**1.2 Definitions**

The following definitions are applicable to this policy. For additional definitions that are applicable to all sections of Rule Division 59G, F.A.C., please refer to the Florida Medicaid definitions policy.

**1.3.1 Authorization**

The process of obtaining approval for reimbursement of a service based on medical necessity.

**1.3.6 Provider**

The term used to describe any entity, facility, person, or group that has been approved for enrollment or registered with Florida Medicaid.

**1.3.7 Quality Improvement Organization**

Entity designated to perform utilization review, quality assurance, and quality improvement activities for Florida Medicaid-covered services rendered by fee-for-service providers (also known as the QIO).

...

**2.0 Authorization Requirements**

**2.4.2 Requests for Additional Information**

The QIO may request additional information, as necessary, to determine medical necessity.

...

**3.0 Determination Process**

**3.1 Review Criteria**

The QIO may use a national standardized set of criteria, or other set of criteria, approved by AHCA, as a guide for authorizations performed at the first review level. If services cannot be approved at the first level review, the QIO's physician peer reviewer will determine medical necessity using [redacted] or her clinical judgment,

acceptable standards of care, state and federal laws, and AHCA's medical necessity definition.

### **3.2 Review Process**

The QIO will review each authorization request and will approve, deny, or request additional information. The QIO may deny a portion of the requested units of service if it cannot substantiate medical necessity based upon the information submitted.

#### **3.2.1 Continued Authorization Requests**

The QIO shall not deny or reduce the amount, frequency, or duration of a service that is already being provided, unless:

- The reduction is to correct for factual errors or omissions in prior certifications.
- There is a documented improvement in the recipient's medical condition.
- There is a documented change in the recipient's circumstances.
- The reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.

Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2 at pages 32-34.

20. Petitioner is under the age of 21 years and diagnosed with [REDACTED]. See supra ¶

3. The parties agree that Petitioner currently engages in maladaptive behaviors that interfere with [REDACTED] daily functioning. See supra ¶ 3. Respondent agreed that BA services are medically necessary for Petitioner, but Respondent determined that the BA provider submitted insufficient documentation to justify the level of BA services requested. See supra ¶ 4, 5.

21. Based on the record, Respondent determined that the documentation did not meet the following medical necessity standards: individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs; and consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational. See supra ¶ 4-5, 9. The medical necessity criteria are expressly outlined in section 2.83 of the Definitions Policy and are a critical element for behavior analysis services. See supra ¶ 14, 18. The BA Policy mandates that the

treatment plan must be detailed enough to warrant the requested services and include mechanisms to monitor and evaluate its effectiveness. *See supra* ¶ 15.

22. Dr. Darling, a Board Certified Behavior Analyst at the Doctoral level, provided credible and persuasive testimony that, although Petitioner engages in maladaptive behaviors that threaten access to typical environments and negatively affect [redacted] activities of daily living, the requested BA services fail to meet at least two medical necessity criteria. *See supra* ¶ 8-9. Darling established that the Treatment Plan is not consistent with generally accepted professional standards in the field of BA services. As Dr. Darling testified, the Treatment Plan does not sufficiently describe the components for teaching and successfully moving Petitioner through the replacement behavior goals. The goals identified are unrealistic and lack sufficient detail describing how Petitioner will reach the stated level of proficiency. Finally, standards of applied BA recognize the practice guideline of a 10:2 ratio (or 20%) for RBT services. The Treatment Plan is inconsistent with BA standards because the ratio is [redacted]. Thus, only about 5% of the RBT's time is directly overseen by lead analyst to ensure BA services is done correctly. Further, it is not appropriate for the RBT to engage in group home staff training per BA practice guidelines. The documentation from the BA provider was reviewed by three other experienced Board Certified Behavior Analysts at eQHealth who agreed that Petitioner's provider did not provide sufficient justification for the requested number of BA service units. *See supra* ¶ 4-5, 8. Dr. Darling maintained that the Treatment Plan, as written, can be effectively delivered with the number and type of units that have been approved in this case. *See supra* ¶ 8.

23. Based on Dr. Darling's professional opinion that the approved number of units is sufficient to implement the Treatment Plan as written, and the deficiencies discussed above concerning

the Treatment Plan, supra ¶ 8-9, Petitioner has not demonstrated that the requested services are not in excess of the Petitioner's needs and that the Treatment Plan is consistent with generally accepted professional standards in the field of BA services.

24. Accordingly, although Petitioner continues to need BA services, Petitioner has not met their burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence that additional BA services are medically necessary. Looking at all the evidence relevant to the particular needs of Petitioner, the requested additional BA services at issue are not necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect or a physical and mental illness or condition.

25. Accordingly, upon consideration of Respondent's Composite Exhibits 1, Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2, the testimony, and the applicable laws and policies, the undersigned concludes that Petitioner did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's denial was incorrect.


**DECISION**

Respondent's denial of additional Behavior Analysis services is **AFFIRMED**. Petitioner's appeal based on Respondent's denial of additional Behavior Analysis services is **DENIED**.

**DONE and ORDERED** this 6th day of February 2023, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.

Laura Gallagher

22-FH1782

 2023.02.06 11:54:12  
-05'00'

---

**LAURA GALLAGHER, Hearing Officer**  
**Agency for Health Care Administration**  
**Office of Fair Hearings**  
**2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407**  
**Office: (850) 412-3649**  
**Fax: (850) 487-1423**

E-mail: [OfficeOfFairHearings@ahca.myflorida.com](mailto:OfficeOfFairHearings@ahca.myflorida.com)

**NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW**

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

**COPIES FURNISHED TO:**



**AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit**  
**[MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com](mailto:MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com)**