



STATE OF FLORIDA
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

FILED

Aug 01, 2023, 10:49 am

OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

[REDACTED]

PETITIONER,

AHCA Case No.: 23-FH1121

vs.

AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE
ADMINISTRATION,

RESPONDENT.

_____ /

FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to notice, the undersigned convened a telephonic Fair Hearing on the instant case on June 27, 2023, at 10:03 a.m. Eastern Standard Time ("EST").

APPEARANCES

For the Petitioner:

[REDACTED]

Petitioner's Authorized Representative

For the Respondent:

Chrissie Simmons
Medical/Health Care Program Analyst
Agency for Health Care Administration

STATEMENT OF ISSUE

The issue is whether Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's decision to terminate Petitioner's prescribed pediatric extended care ("PPEC") services was correct.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

All parties and witnesses appeared telephonically. Petitioner's Authorized Representative and [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (" [REDACTED] "), appeared at Fair Hearing on behalf of Petitioner.

Petitioner's [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (" [REDACTED]"), appeared at Fair Hearing as a witness for Petitioner.

Chrissie Simmons, Medical/Health Care Program Analyst for the Agency for Health Care Administration ("Agency" or "AHCA"), appeared at Fair Hearing on behalf of Respondent. Dr. Rakesh Mittal ("Dr. Mittal"), Physician Consultant for eQHealth Solutions Florida ("eQHealth") and Kepro, appeared at Fair Hearing as a witness for Respondent.

Petitioner did not introduce any exhibits at the hearing. Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a two hundred and six (206)-page evidence packet and a forty-six (46)-page evidence packet. The two hundred and six (206)-page packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings document management system as the file title "[REDACTED] FH 06.27.2023.pdf." The forty-six (46)-page evidence packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings document management system as the file title "23-FH1121 AHCA PPEC Evidence.pdf.pdf." Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the two hundred and six (206)-page evidence packet into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1 ("RCE 1") and the forty-six (46)-page evidence packet into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2 ("RCE 2").

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner receives Medicaid services on a fee-for-service basis from the Agency. eQHealth is a Quality Improvement Organization contracted by the Agency to review prior authorization requests for services. See page 2 of RCE 2.

2. As of the Fair Hearing date, Petitioner was [REDACTED] old. See page 16 of RCE 1. Petitioner is diagnosed with [REDACTED] (" [REDACTED] ") and [REDACTED]. *Id.* Petitioner's past medical history includes [REDACTED], [REDACTED],

and [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 16, 17. Petitioner [REDACTED].
[REDACTED]. *Id.* at 17. Petitioner attends [REDACTED].
("[REDACTED]") six (6) days a week for twelve (12) hours per day. *Id.*

3. Petitioner's current treatment is [REDACTED], as needed, and [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] per day. *Id.* at 17. Petitioner's prescription medication history includes [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. *Id.* at 19. Petitioner's Medication
Administration Record ("MAR") was not submitted by Petitioner's PPEC provider "since as per
[REDACTED]." *Id.* at 17. Petitioner has [REDACTED],
but [REDACTED]. *Id.* at
18, 149, 169.

4. Petitioner requested continuation of PPEC services, for the certification period of March
25, 2023, through September 20, 2023, specifically, 624 units of code T1026 (partial day services)
and 156 units of code T1025 (full day services). *Id.* at 25. In a Notice of Outcome ("NOO"), dated
[REDACTED], Respondent terminated all units. *Id.* at 25 – 27. The NOO explained the basis for
the reduction as follows:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED].

The NOO further provided:

Submitted information does not support the medical necessity for requested
services.

Clinical Rationale for Decision: Request is for PPEC for this [REDACTED] with
[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]. Child [REDACTED]; requires age appropriate care; no scheduled meds at PPEC; [REDACTED]; no cardiology notes/ no cardiac meds or restrictions mentioned. These clinicals do not justify the medical necessity of skilled nursing. Deny this request.

...

Id. at 25 – 26.

5. The notes of Petitioner’s recent visit to [REDACTED] cardiologist, [REDACTED], on [REDACTED] [REDACTED], were submitted as part of the evidence of record. The visit notes state, in pertinent part, as follows:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]...

[REDACTED] noted the following recommendations:

- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

...

Id. at 187 – 189.

6. On May 8, 2023, Petitioner requested a Fair Hearing to challenge the termination of PPEC services. On June 1, 2023, the undersigned issued an Order Scheduling Fair Hearing and Prehearing Instructions, setting the hearing for June 27, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. EST.

7. Dr. Mittal established the following at Fair Hearing:

- a. Petitioner’s prescription for [REDACTED] can be administered at home before and after PPEC. The prescription for [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is not needed on a daily basis. [REDACTED] does not require

a skilled nurse to administer. In Petitioner’s follow-up visit with [REDACTED] on [REDACTED], the plan of care listed the use of [REDACTED], for which Dr. Mittal explained that all do not require administration by a skilled nurse. *Id.* at 100 – 102.

b. Petitioner had a [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 149 – 158. Petitioner had [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 161 – 169. In Dr. Mittal’s medical opinion, [REDACTED] are common among children at this age.

c. In the physician plan of care for PPEC services (“Plan of Care”), the nutrition section provides that [REDACTED] be given by mouth and Petitioner can start [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 107. Dr. Mittal contends this shows no concerns with [REDACTED]. The Plan of Care further indicated “regular daycare is not recommended at this time. PPEC is recommended for daily nursing assessment, monitoring, and intervention as needed to meet medical needs and avoid medical decline. Nursing will keep open lines of communication with caretakers, physicians, and therapist for maximum outcome.” *Id.* at 108. Dr. Mittal contends that no specific nursing needs on a daily basis are made clear in Petitioner’s medical history.

d. [REDACTED]’s reconsideration letter dated May 1, 2023, states “nursing monitors patient for any [REDACTED]...” *Id.* at 186.

Dr. Mittal argues that any [REDACTED] can be addressed by any competent adult. Moreover, the letter states “nursing monitors for any [signs]/[symptoms] of [REDACTED]...” to which Dr. Mittal argues that Petitioner did not have [REDACTED] that affected [REDACTED], and any competent adult can identify [REDACTED] by [REDACTED]. *Id.*

e. Petitioner receives [REDACTED] three times week at PPEC,” but Dr. Mittal explains that attendance at PPEC is not necessary to receive these therapies. *Id.*

8. [REDACTED] testified to the following at Fair Hearing:

a. Petitioner has a problem with [REDACTED], so [REDACTED] has constant [REDACTED] after [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] believes a professional needs to [REDACTED] as [REDACTED] still [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] explains that [REDACTED] did not follow up on these [REDACTED] issues with the primary care physician.

9. [REDACTED] testified to the following at Fair Hearing:

a. The results of Petitioner’s [REDACTED] are still pending. Petitioner’s [REDACTED]. Petitioner does not [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], so [REDACTED] believes Petitioner needs to continue [REDACTED] therapies.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

10. The Agency’s Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and the parties pursuant to section 409.285(2), Florida Statutes (2019). This order is the final administrative decision of AHCA under section 409.285(2)(a).

11. This hearing was held as a *de novo* proceeding pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(b).

12. Because Respondent terminated a previously approved service, Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(g) assigns the burden of proof to the Respondent. The standard of proof in an administrative hearing is a preponderance of the evidence. The preponderance of the evidence standard requires proof by “the greater weight of the evidence” (Black’s Law Dictionary at 1201, 7th Ed.)

13. The Florida Medicaid Prescribed Pediatric Extended Care Services Coverage Policy (February 2018) (“PPEC Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code. R. 59G-4.260, governs PPEC services available under Florida Medicaid. The PPEC Policy provides as follows:

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Description

Florida Medicaid prescribed pediatric extended care (PPEC) services provide skilled nursing supervision and therapeutic interventions in a non-residential setting to medically dependent or technologically dependent recipients.

...

1.3.7 Medically Necessary/Medical Necessity

As defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.

...

2.0 Eligible Recipient

...

2.2 Who Can Receive

Florida Medicaid recipients under the age of 21 years requiring medically necessary PPEC services and who:

- Require continuous therapeutic interventions or skilled nursing supervision, as described in section 400.902, F.S., and in Rule 59A-13.007, F.A.C.
- Are determined stable by a physician and who are not a threat to self or others

...

4.0 Coverage Information

4.1 General Criteria

Florida Medicaid covers services that meet all of the following:

- Are determined medically necessary
- Do not duplicate another service
- Meet the criteria as specified in this policy

4.2 Specific Criteria

Florida Medicaid covers PPEC services provided in accordance with section 400.902, F.S., the applicable Florida Medicaid fee schedule, or as specified in this policy, on a full or partial day basis. Services must include the following at a minimum:

- Caregiver training
- Developmental therapies
- An appropriate escort for travel to and from the PPEC when Florida Medicaid non-emergency transportation is provided
- Medical services
- Nursing services
- Personal care services
- Psychosocial services
- Respiratory therapy services

The PPEC day begins when the recipient arrives at the PPEC or is picked up for escorted transportation to the PPEC.

The PPEC day ends when the recipient departs from the PPEC for the day or is returned home by escorted transportation from the PPEC.

...

4.3 Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment

As required by federal law, Florida Medicaid provides services to eligible recipients under the age of 21 years, if such services are medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect, a condition, or a physical or mental illness. Included are diagnostic services, treatment, equipment, supplies, and other measures described in sectioned 1905(a) of the Social Security Act, codified in Title 42 of the United States Code 1396d(a). As such, services for recipients under the age of 21 years exceeding the coverage described within this policy or the associated fee schedule may be approved, if medically necessary. For more information, please refer to Florida Medicaid's General Policies on authorization requirements.

...

5.0 Exclusion

5.1 General Non-Covered Criteria

Services related to this policy are not covered when any of the following apply:

- The service does not meet the medical necessity criteria listed in section 1.0
- The recipient does not meet the eligibility requirements listed in section 2.0

- The service unnecessarily duplicates another provider’s service

5.2 Specific Non-Covered Criteria

Florida Medicaid does not cover the following as part of this service benefit:

- A full day and a partial day of PPEC services on the same date of service, for the same recipient
- Early intervention services when billed separately
- Food or formulas
- Supportive or contracted services as defined in section 400.902, F.S.
Transportation services

...

See RCE 2 at 38 – 43.

14. Rule 59A-13.007(4)(a), F.A.C. states the following:

(4) Each child admitted for service to a PPEC center must meet at least the following criteria:

(a) Infants and children considered for admission to the PPEC center will be those who are medically or technologically dependent. . .

...

Further, section 400.902, F.S described “medically dependent or technologically dependent child” as follows:

[A] child who because of a medical condition requires continuous therapeutic interventions or skilled nursing supervision which must be prescribed by a licensed physician and administered by, or under the direct supervision of, a licensed registered nurse.

15. Rule 59G-4.290, F.A.C. defines skilled nursing as follows:

(3) Skilled Services Criteria.

- a) To be classified as requiring skilled nursing or skilled rehabilitative services in the community or in a nursing facility, the recipient must require the type of medical, nursing or rehabilitative services specified in this subsection.
- b) Skilled Nursing. To be classified as skilled nursing service, the service must meet all of the following conditions:
 1. Ordered by and remain under the supervision of a physician;
 2. Sufficiently medically complex to require supervision, assessment, planning, or intervention by a registered nurse.

3. Required to be performed by, or under the direct supervision of, a registered nurse or other health care professionals for safe and effect performance;
 - 4. Required on a daily basis;**
 5. Reasonable and necessary to the treatment of a specified documented illness or injury; and,
 6. Consistent with the nature and severity of the individual's condition or the disease state or stage.
- c) Examples of services that qualify as skilled nursing services:
1. Intravenous medication or fluids.
 2. Intramuscular or subcutaneous injection and hypodermoclysis when:
 - a. Administered by licensed nursing personnel at least 5 times weekly, excluding daily insulin administration; and,
 - b. Observation is necessary to assess the recipient's response to treatment or to identify adverse reactions.
 3. Management and monitoring medication regime on a daily basis:
 - a. For drugs whose dosage requirements may rapidly change;
 - b. For drugs prone to cause adverse reactions, severe side effects or unfavorable reactions; and,
 - c. For residents with unstable reactions.
 4. Levin tube and gastrostomy feedings; excluding feedings performed by residents, family members, or friends.
 5. Administration of medical gases, aerosolized medication or oxygen which is started, monitored and regulated by professional staff.
 6. Naso-pharyngeal and tracheotomy aspiration, excluding tracheotomy care in self-care residents.
 7. Insertion, replacement, and sterile irrigation of catheters when:
 - a. Medically necessary or required for reasons other than to maintain satisfactory catheter functioning and dryness;
 - b. The medical need is documented by the physician;
 - c. Continuous irrigation, frequent insertion, special care or observation is required because of bleeding, infection, obstruction, or heavy sediment formations; and,
 - d. Care of a recently inserted supra-pubic catheter, inserted within 2-4 weeks, is required.
 8. Colostomy and ileostomy care:
 - a. When medically necessary and required during early postoperative period;
 - b. During the period of initial self-care training, or

c. when complications are present and documented in the medical record.

9. Treatment of decubitus ulcers when:
 - a. Deep or wide without necrotic center;
 - b. Deep or wide with layers of necrotic tissue, or
 - c. Infected and draining.
10. Treatment of widespread infected or draining skin disorders.
11. Application of dressings involving prescription medication and aseptic techniques when documented as required on a daily basis. Excludes simple dressings involving non-infected cases, simple skin breaks, and healed postoperative incisions.
12. Heat treatments prescribed by a physician as daily treatment for a specific condition.
13. Rehabilitation nursing procedures required on a daily basis as necessary to restore functioning, including teaching and adaptive aspects of nursing.

16. States must provide Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (“EPSDT”) services to Medicaid-eligible children under age 21 when requested under the Medicaid state plan. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43); 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(a)(4). According to 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(r)(5), EPSDT services mean, in relevant part, the following items and services:

Such other necessary health care, diagnostic services, treatment, and other measures described in subsection (a) of this section to correct or ameliorate defects and physical and mental illness and conditions discovered by the screen services, whether or not such services are covered under the state plan.

17. Petitioner is under age 21, and therefore EPSDT applies to this request for services. However, a state may place medical necessity limitations on EPSDT services. *See* 42 C.F.R. §§ 440.230(a), (b), (d). Fla. Stat. § 409.905(2) limits EPSDT services with a medical necessity standard:

The [Agency] shall pay for early and periodic screening and diagnosis of a recipient under age 21 to ascertain physical and mental problems and conditions and all services determined by the agency to be medically necessary for the treatment, correction, or amelioration of these problems and conditions, including personal

care, private duty nursing, durable medical equipment, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, respiratory therapy, and immunizations.

18. The Florida Medicaid Definitions Policy (August 2017) (“Definitions Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010, defines “Medically Necessary” or “Medical Necessity” as follows:

The medical or allied care, goods, or services furnished or ordered must meet the following conditions:

- Be necessary to protect life, to prevent significant illness or significant disability, or to alleviate pain
- Be individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs
- Be consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational
- Be reflective of the level of service that can be safely furnished, and for which no equally effective and more conservative or less costly treatment is available statewide
- Be furnished in a manner not primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient, the recipient’s caretaker, or the provider

The fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service.

...

See RCE 2 at 23.

19. The Florida Medicaid Authorization Requirements Policy (June 2016) (“Authorization Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.053, provides as follows:

1.1 Description

This policy contains general requirements for providers to obtain authorization to render Florida Medicaid services, when applicable.

...

3.0 Determination Process

3.1 Review Criteria

The QIO may use a national standardized set of criteria, or other set of criteria, approved by AHCA, as a guide for authorizations performed at the first review level. If services cannot be approved at the first level review, the QIO's physician peer reviewer will determine medical necessity using his or her clinical judgment, acceptable standards of care, state and federal laws, and AHCA's medical necessity definition.

3.2 Review Process

The QIO will review each authorization request and will approve, deny, or request additional information. The QIO may deny a portion of the requested units of service if it cannot substantiate medical necessity based upon the information submitted.

3.2.1 Continued Authorization Requests

The QIO shall not deny or reduce the amount, frequency, or duration of a service that is already being provided, unless:

- The reduction is to correct for factual errors or omissions in prior certifications.
- There is a documented improvement in the recipient's medical condition.
- There is a documented change in the recipient's circumstances.
- The reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.

...

See RCE 2 at 32 – 34.

20. In the NOO, dated April 3, 2023, Respondent terminated Petitioner's PPEC services. See *supra* ¶ 4. The NOO explained that the basis of the termination was that the request was not "individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment", and was "in excess of the patient's needs." See *supra* ¶ 4. Respondent has burden of proof to show by a preponderance of evidence that the Respondent's determination was correct. See *supra* ¶ 12.

21. The role of PPEC services is to provide patients "who because of a medical condition requires continuous therapeutic interventions or skilled nursing supervision." See *supra* ¶ 13. According to Rule 59G-4.290, F.A.C., skilled nursing requires that the service be, *inter alia*, sufficiently medically complex to require supervision, assessment, planning, or intervention by a

registered nurse; required to be performed by, or under the direct supervision of, a registered nurse or other health care professionals for safe and effect performance; **required on a daily basis**; and consistent with the nature and severity of the individual’s condition. *See supra* ¶ 14 (emphasis added). As provided by the EPSDT requirements, the recipient must meet the medical necessity criteria as outlined in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010. The Definitions Policy maintains a component of medical necessity that services must be “[i]ndividualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.” *See supra* ¶ 18.

22. In the instant case, Dr. Mittal established at Fair Hearing that Petitioner does not require skilled nursing services at this time. EQHealth reviewers took into consideration Petitioner’s [REDACTED] when making their determination. Petitioner’s medical conditions include [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. *See supra* ¶ 2. At Fair Hearing, Dr. Mittal explained that Petitioner’s prescribed [REDACTED] is not required daily, and the [REDACTED], although prescribed daily, can be administered at home before and after PPEC. *See supra* ¶ 3, 7. The [REDACTED] prescribed during Petitioner’s follow-up visit with [REDACTED] on [REDACTED], was only for a 10-day usage so that is also not required daily. *See supra* ¶ 3, 7. Additionally, Petitioner had [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], for [REDACTED]. *See supra* ¶ 3, 7. Dr. Mittal explained that for both of Petitioner’s [REDACTED]. *See supra* ¶ 7. Dr. Mittal further expressed that in [REDACTED] medical opinion, [REDACTED] are common among children at this age. *See supra* ¶ 7.

23. Moreover, the nutrition section of the Plan of Care provides that [REDACTED] be given by mouth and Petitioner can start [REDACTED]. *See supra* ¶ 7. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both argued that Petitioner present ongoing [REDACTED] issues, but as testified by [REDACTED], they did not follow up on these [REDACTED] issues with the primary care physician. *See supra* ¶ 8, 9. Accordingly, the record does not support a finding that Petitioner has a need for daily skilled nursing interventions related to [REDACTED] issues.

24. In reference to Petitioner's [REDACTED], [REDACTED] wrote a reconsideration letter dated May 1, 2023, which states "'nursing monitors for any [signs]/[symptoms] of [REDACTED]..." *See supra* ¶ 7. At Fair Hearing, Dr. Mittal contended that Petitioner did not have [REDACTED] that affected [REDACTED], but any competent adult can identify [REDACTED] by a showing of [REDACTED]. *See supra* ¶ 7. Taking into account the cardiologist visit notes dated [REDACTED], the record reflects there are "no [REDACTED] [REDACTED] that require intervention" and "no endocarditis prophylaxis or activity restriction needed." *See supra* ¶ 5. As such, no further nursing needs are indicated for cardiac related conditions.

25. Although the Plan of Care makes several assertions, including for "daily nursing assessment, monitoring, and intervention as needed to meet medical needs and avoid medical decline," the undersigned has to agree with Dr. Mittal in that, as discussed, no specific, daily skilled nursing needs are clinically indicated by Petitioner's medical history to justify such requirement. *See supra* ¶ 22 – 24. The undersigned finds that Respondent presented sufficient, credible evidence to demonstrate that PPEC services are in excess of Petitioner's needs. All in all, the record does not reflect that Petitioner requires daily skilled nursing services at this time.

26. Upon consideration of the testimony provided, evidence submitted, and applicable policies, the undersigned concludes that Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that continuing PPEC services was not medically necessary for Petitioner. Looking at all the evidence relevant to the particular needs of Petitioner, Respondent has demonstrated that the PPEC services are not medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect or a physical and mental illness or condition. Accordingly, Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's termination of PPEC services was correct.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

Respondent's termination of PPEC services is **AFFIRMED**. Petitioner's appeal based on Respondent's termination is **DENIED**.

DONE AND ORDERED this 1st day of August, 2023 in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.



Kimberly Roche
23-FH1121
2023.08.01 08:29:20
-04'00'

KIMBERLY ROCHE, Hearing Officer
Agency for Health Care Administration
Office of Fair Hearings
2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11
Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407

NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

COPIES FURNISHED TO:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit
MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com