



STATE OF FLORIDA
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

FILED

Sep 22, 2023, 1:16 pm
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

[REDACTED]

PETITIONER,

AHCA Case No.: 23-FH1677

vs.

AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE
ADMINISTRATION,

RESPONDENT.

_____ /

FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to notice, a hearing officer convened a telephonic Fair Hearing on the instant case on August 17, 2023, at 9:02 a.m. Eastern Standard Time (“EST”).

APPEARANCES

For the Petitioner:

[REDACTED]

Petitioner’s Authorized Representative

For the Respondent:

Suzanne Chillari
Medical Health Care Program Analyst
Agency for Health Care Administration

STATEMENT OF ISSUE

The issue is whether Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent decision to terminate prescribed pediatric extended care (“PPEC”) services was correct.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

All parties appeared telephonically. [REDACTED] (“[REDACTED]”), Petitioner’s Authorized Representative and the [REDACTED], appeared on behalf of Petitioner.

Suzanne Chillari, Medical Health Care Program Analyst for the Agency for Health Care Administration (“Agency” or “AHCA”), appeared as the representative for Respondent. Dr. Rakesh Mittal (“Dr. Mittal”), Physician Consultant with eQHealth Solutions of Florida and Kepro, Inc. (“eQHealth”), attended as a witness for Respondent.

Petitioner did not introduce any exhibits at the Fair Hearing.

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a ninety-nine (99)-page evidence packet. The ninety-nine (99)-page evidence packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings’ document management system as “[REDACTED] FH 08.17.2023.pdf.” Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the ninety-nine (99)-page evidence packet into evidence as Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 1 (“RCE 1”).

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a forty-six (46)-page evidence packet. The forty-six (46)-page evidence packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings’ case management system as “Agency Evidence Legal Authorities 23-FH1677.pdf”. Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the forty-six (46)-page evidence packet into evidence as Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 (“RCE 2”).

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner receives Medicaid services on a fee-for-service basis from the Agency. See RCE 2 at page 1. eQHealth is a Quality Improvement Organization (“QIO”) contracted by the agency to review prior authorization requests for services. *Id.* at page 2.

2. As of the date of the Fair Hearing, Petitioner is [REDACTED] old. See RCE 1 at page 16.

Petitioner is diagnosed with the following: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. *Id.* at 17.

3. Petitioner is [REDACTED]. *Id.* at

43. Petitioner does not use a ventilator, BiPAP/CPAP, or oxygen, and has no monitors or suctioning needs. *Id.* at 45. Petitioner does not use enteral feeds, does not have seizures or spasms, does not receive therapy intravenously, and does not have wounds or stomas. *Id.* at 43-46.

4. Petitioner requested continuance of PPEC services for the certification period of June 18, 2023, through December 14, 2023. *Id.* at 25. In a Notice of Outcome (“NOO”), dated June 23, 2023, Respondent denied Petitioner’s request for PPEC services. *Id.* The NOO explained the basis of the termination as follows:

The request for services is denied in whole or in part because they are not medically necessary as defined in 59G-1.010, Florida Administration Code, Specifically the requested services are not medically necessary under the following standard(s):

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.

The rational for our decision is as follows:

PR Principal Reason – Denial

Submitted information does not support the medical necessity for requested services.

Clinical Rational for Decision: Request is for PPEC for this [REDACTED] old with [REDACTED]. Child is [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]). No need for skilled nursing care. Deny this request.

Id. at 25 – 26.

5. On July 10, 2023, Petitioner requested a Fair Hearing to challenge the termination of PPEC services. On July 25, 2023, the undersigned issued an Order Scheduling Fair Hearing by Telephone and Prehearing Instructions, setting the hearing for August 17, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. EST. According to the NOO, the current units of PPEC services would continue until the reconsideration decision was made. *Id.* at 26.

6. Dr. Mittal is a Physician Consultant for eQHealth. Dr. Mittal testified:

a. PPEC is intended for children who require skilled nursing care on a daily basis. PPEC is not a daycare center, not just for care or supervision, and not just administration of medicines by mouth. PPEC is certified based on what the child's symptoms are and their skilled nursing needs.

b. Petitioner is [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] years old and diagnosed with [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] *Id.* at 16.

c. Petitioner was [REDACTED]
Id. at 17. Petitioner's medications for [REDACTED] can be given at home. Petitioner is prescribed [REDACTED] which is a [REDACTED] given for [REDACTED] in an emergency. Only medications given every day at a PPEC center will be considered for PPEC approval, and not medicines given at PPEC just for the

convenience of the parents. If a medicine is given only on an as needed basis, it is not considered for PPEC approval.

- d. Regarding Petitioner's nutrition, Petitioner is on a regular diet that is age appropriate. *Id.* at 17.
- e. Petitioner had multiple [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] such as [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 19. These issues can be managed by a pediatrician in office and [REDACTED].
- f. Dr. Mittal reviewed the clinical rationale for the termination of PPEC services, noting that Petitioner is [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and does not require skilled nursing care at PPEC. *Id.* at 21.
- g. Dr. Mittal reviewed the plan of care for Petitioner, highlighting a note from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] that states Petitioner is being evaluated for [REDACTED] associated with [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 87. However, Dr. Mittal stated that the PPEC services are not needed for a child with [REDACTED] unless there are certain complications which may require PPEC. At this time, Petitioner does not have any of those medical complications.
- h. Dr. Mittal also reviewed the Plan of Care note that states Petitioner requires continuous skilled nursing care on a daily basis for observation of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] as indicated by diagnosis. *Id.* However,

Dr. Mittal stated that there is no indication in the chart that Petitioner requires these parameters measured on a daily basis given [REDACTED] current medical conditions.

- i. Dr. Mittal opined that he agrees Petitioner does not have any need for skilled nursing services on a daily basis and that PPEC services should be denied.

7. Petitioner's authorized representative and [REDACTED] [REDACTED], testified:

- a. Petitioner is requesting for PPEC services to be approved through August 31, 2023, because the family lives [REDACTED] and there are not a lot of services in that region. The family was hoping to find an appropriate place for Petitioner.
- b. In response to what skilled nursing care Petitioner currently requires, [REDACTED] answered that Petitioner is receiving a medication, [REDACTED], that a regular daycare would not be able to administer. However, [REDACTED] confirmed that Petitioner's parents are able to give the medication to Petitioner at home. [REDACTED] elaborated that Petitioner is also given the medication at PPEC because of the parents' varying work schedules. Dr. Mittal explained that the [REDACTED] is a twice a day medication that can be given to Petitioner at any time, that the parents are well versed in administering the medications, and that there is no reason that the PPEC center has to give the medication.
- c. [REDACTED] asserted that Petitioner has been stable because [REDACTED] is in a PPEC setting.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

8. The Agency’s Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and the parties pursuant to section 409.285(2), Florida Statutes (2019). This order is the final administrative decision of AHCA under section 409.285(2)(a).

9. This hearing was held as a de novo proceeding pursuant to Florida Administrative Code Rule (“Fla. Admin. Code R.”) 59G-1.100(17)(b).

10. Because Respondent terminated an existing service, Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(g) assigns the burden of proof to Respondent. The standard of proof in an administrative hearing is a preponderance of the evidence. The preponderance of the evidence standard requires proof by “the greater weight of the evidence” (Black’s Law Dictionary at 1201, 7th Ed.)

11. The Prescribed Pediatric Extended Care Services Coverage Policy (February 2018) (“PPEC Policy”) establishes the provision and coverage of PPEC services under Florida Medicaid. The PPEC Policy states as follows:

1.1 Description

Florida Medicaid prescribed pediatric extended care (PPEC) services provide skilled nursing supervision and therapeutic interventions in a non-residential setting to medically dependent or technologically dependent recipients.

...

2.2 Who Can Receive

Florida Medicaid recipients under the age of 21 years requiring medically necessary PPEC services and who:

- Require continuous therapeutic interventions or skilled nursing supervision, as described in section 400.902, F.S., and in Rule 59A-13.007, F.A.C.
- Are determined stable by a physician and who are not a threat to self or others

...

1.3 Definitions

The following definitions are applicable to this policy. For additional definitions that are applicable to all sections of Rule Division 59G, F.A.C., please refer to the Florida Medicaid definitions policy.

...

1.3.7 Medically Necessary/Medical Necessity

As defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.

...

4.0 Coverage Information

4.1 General Criteria

Florida Medicaid covers services that meet all of the following:

- Are determined medically necessary
- Do not duplicate another service
- Meet the criteria as specified in this policy

...

5.0 Exclusion

5.1 General Non-Covered Criteria

Services related to this policy are not covered when any of the following apply:

- The service does not meet the medical necessity criteria listed in section 1.0
- The recipient does not meet the eligibility requirements listed in section 2.0
- The service unnecessarily duplicates another provider's service

RCE 2 at pages 40-42.

12. Fla. Admin. Code Rule 59A-13.007(4)(a) states the following:

(4) Each child admitted for service to a PPEC center must meet at least the following criteria:

(a) Infants and children considered for admission to the PPEC center will be those who are medically or technologically dependent. . . .

...

Further, section 400.902, Florida Statutes, describes "medically dependent or technologically dependent child" as follows:

[A] child who because of a medical condition requires continuous therapeutic interventions or skilled nursing supervision which must be prescribed by a licensed physician and administered by, or under the direct supervision of, a licensed registered nurse.

RCE 2 at 46.

13. Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-4.290 defines skilled nursing as follows:

(3) Skilled Services Criteria.

- a) To be classified as requiring skilled nursing or skilled rehabilitative services in the community or in a nursing facility, the recipient must require the

type of medical, nursing or rehabilitative services specified in this subsection.

- b) Skilled Nursing. To be classified as skilled nursing service, the service must meet all of the following conditions:
 - 1. Ordered by and remain under the supervision of a physician;
 - 2. Sufficiently medically complex to require supervision, assessment, planning, or intervention by a registered nurse.
 - 3. Required to be performed by, or under the direct supervision of, a registered nurse or other health care professionals for safe and effect performance;
 - 4. Required on a daily basis;
 - 5. Reasonable and necessary to the treatment of a specified documented illness or injury; and,
 - 6. Consistent with the nature and severity of the individual's condition or the disease state or stage.
- c) Examples of services that qualify as skilled nursing services:
 - 1. Intravenous medication or fluids.
 - 2. Intramuscular or subcutaneous injection and hypodermoclysis when:
 - a. Administered by licensed nursing personnel at least 5 times weekly, excluding daily insulin administration; and,
 - b. Observation is necessary to assess the recipient's response to treatment or to identify adverse reactions.
 - 3. Management and monitoring medication regime on a daily basis:
 - a. For drugs whose dosage requirements may rapidly change;
 - b. For drugs prone to cause adverse reactions, severe side effects or unfavorable reactions; and,
 - c. For residents with unstable reactions.
 - 4. Levin tube and gastrostomy feedings; excluding feedings performed by residents, family members, or friends.
 - 5. Administration of medical gases, aerosolized medication or oxygen which is started, monitored and regulated by professional staff.
 - 6. Naso-pharyngeal and tracheotomy aspiration, excluding tracheotomy care in self-care residents.
 - 7. Insertion, replacement, and sterile irrigation of catheters when:
 - a. Medically necessary or required for reasons other than to maintain satisfactory catheter functioning and dryness;
 - b. The medical need is documented by the physician;
 - c. Continuous irrigation, frequent insertion, special care or observation is required because of bleeding, infection, obstruction, or heavy sediment formations; and,

d. Care of a recently inserted supra-pubic catheter, inserted within 2-4 weeks, is required.

8. Colostomy and ileostomy care:
 - a. When medically necessary and required during early postoperative period;
 - b. During the period of initial self-care training, or
 - c. when complications are present and documented in the medical record.
9. Treatment of decubitus ulcers when:
 - a. Deep or wide without necrotic center;
 - b. Deep or wide with layers of necrotic tissue, or
 - c. Infected and draining.
10. Treatment of widespread infected or draining skin disorders.
11. Application of dressings involving prescription medication and aseptic techniques when documented as required on a daily basis. Excludes simple dressings involving non-infected cases, simple skin breaks, and healed postoperative incisions.
12. Heat treatments prescribed by a physician as daily treatment for a specific condition.
13. Rehabilitation nursing procedures required on a daily basis as necessary to restore functioning, including teaching and adaptive aspects of nursing.

14. States must provide Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (“EPSDT”) services to Medicaid-eligible children under age 21 when requested under the Medicaid state plan. See 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43); 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(a)(4). According to 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(r)(5), EPSDT services mean, in relevant part, the following items and services:

Such other necessary health care, diagnostic services, treatment, and other measures described in subsection (a) of this section to correct or ameliorate defects and physical and mental illness and conditions discovered by the screen services, whether or not such services are covered under the state plan.

15. Petitioner is under age 21, and therefore eligible for EPSDT services. However, a state may place medical necessity limitations on EPSDT services. See 42 C.F.R. §§ 440.230(a), (b), (d).

16. Section 409.905(2), Florida Statutes, limits EPSDT services with a medical necessity standard:

The [Agency] shall pay for early and periodic screening and diagnosis of a recipient under age 21 to ascertain physical and mental problems and conditions and all services determined by the agency to be medically necessary for the treatment, correction, or amelioration of these problems and conditions, including personal care, private duty nursing, durable medical equipment, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, respiratory therapy, and immunizations.

17. The Florida Medicaid Definitions Policy (“Definitions Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010, defines “Medically Necessary” or “Medical Necessity” as follows:

The medical or allied care, goods, or services furnished or ordered must meet the following conditions:

- Be necessary to protect life, to prevent significant illness or significant disability, or to alleviate pain
- Be individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs
- Be consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational
- Be reflective of the level of service that can be safely furnished, and for which no equally effective and more conservative or less costly treatment is available statewide
- Be furnished in a manner not primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient, the recipient’s caretaker, or the provider

The fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service.

RCE 2 at 23.

18. In the NOO, dated June 23, 2023, Respondent terminated Petitioner’s PPEC services. See supra ¶ 4. The NOO explained that the basis of the termination was that the request was not “individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness

under treatment”. See supra ¶ 4. Respondent explained that Petitioner does not require skilled nursing services based on the documentation provided. See supra ¶ 6.

19. PPEC services are intended for patients who require “continuous therapeutic interventions or skilled nursing supervision.” See supra ¶ 12. As provided by the EPSDT requirements, the recipient must meet the medical necessity criteria as outlined in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010. See supra ¶ 17. As provided in the Definitions Policy, a component of medical necessity is that services must be “individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.” See supra ¶ 17. Dr. Mittal provided credible and persuasive testimony that Petitioner does not require daily skilled nursing services. See supra ¶ 6. The record reflects that Petitioner is stable with no heightened risks for serious complications. See supra ¶ 3. Petitioner does not receive any prescription medications that require a skilled nurse to administer. See supra ¶ 3, 6, 7. Petitioner is not using a ventilator, BiPAP/CPAP, or oxygen. See supra ¶ 3. Petitioner does not use enteral feeds, experience seizures, receive therapy intravenously, or receive wound or stoma care. See supra ¶ 3. In all, there is no indication that Petitioner continues to need daily require skilled nursing services. Petitioner’s authorized representative offered only one reason for Petitioner requesting the PPEC services. [REDACTED] testified that Petitioner receives a medication, [REDACTED], that a regular daycare would not be able to administer, supra ¶ 7, but Dr. Mittal provided credible testimony that there is no reason that the PPEC center has to give the medication. The record does not reflect that Petitioner requires daily skilled nursing services or continuous therapeutic interventions at this time.


20. Further, [REDACTED] testified that Petitioner's parents requested for PPEC services to be approved through August 31, 2023, so they can find an appropriate place for Petitioner to attend. However, services furnished must not be furnished in a manner primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient or recipient's caretaker. *Supra* ¶ 17.

21. Upon consideration of the testimony provided, Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1, Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2, and the applicable polices, the undersigned concludes that Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that PPEC services are no longer medically necessary for Petitioner. Looking at all the evidence relevant to the particular needs of Petitioner, Respondent has demonstrated that PPEC services are not necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect or a physical and mental illness or condition. Accordingly, Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's termination of PPEC services was correct.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

Respondent's termination of Petitioner's PPEC services is **AFFIRMED**. Petitioner's appeal based on Respondent's termination is **DENIED**.

DONE and ORDERED this 22nd day of September 2023, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.

 Kameisha Presley
23-FH16777
2023.09.22
08:05:03 -04'00'

KAMEISHA PRESLEY, Hearing Officer
Agency for Health Care Administration
Office of Fair Hearings
2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11
Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407

NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

COPIES FURNISHED TO:



AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit
MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com