



**FILED**

Aug 29, 2023, 9:17 am

OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

**STATE OF FLORIDA  
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION  
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS**

[REDACTED]

**PETITIONER,**

**AHCA Case No.: 23-FH1693**

**Plan ID No.: [REDACTED]**

**vs.**

**SUNSHINE STATE HEALTH PLAN, INC.,**

**RESPONDENT.**

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**FINAL ORDER**

At all times relevant to this proceeding, Petitioner received Medicaid benefits through Sunshine State Health Plan, Inc. On July 5, 2023, [REDACTED] (“Complainant”) requested a Fair Hearing on behalf of Petitioner based on Respondent’s denial of an inpatient stay at [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Pursuant to Rule 59G-1.100(9)(b)(6), Florida Administrative Code, the Hearing Officer is authorized to dismiss a request for a Fair Hearing because it is moot. The Florida Supreme Court explained in *Godwin v. State*, 593 So.2d 211 (1992) (*citing Dehoff v. Imeson*, 153 Fla. 553 (1943)) that “[a]n issue is moot when the controversy has been so fully resolved that a judicial determination can have no actual effect. . . A case is ‘moot’ when it presents no actual controversy or when the issues have ceased to exist.” *See also J.W. v. Agency for Health Care Admin.*, 178 So. 3d 542, 544–45 (Fla. 1st DCA 2015) (explaining that because J.W. received the requested treatment, “DCF was correct to dismiss J.W.’s fair hearing request under these circumstances because, once he received the continued psychiatric treatment he’d asked for, *he*

no longer needed agency review of Magellan's decision not to authorize the treatment. Rather, the issue at that point became whether Flagler Hospital could be paid by Medicaid for the services it had rendered *without prior authorization.*") (emphasis in original)

The services at issue in the instant case were provided from [REDACTED]. Because the inpatient stay at issue were already provided to the Petitioner and [REDACTED] has not received a bill for these services, the undersigned concludes that there is no relief that can be granted at a Fair Hearing at this time.

On August 10, 2023, the undersigned issued an Order to Show Cause ("Order") why the Fair Hearing request should not be dismissed for mootness under Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(9)(b)(6). The Order notified the Petitioner that failure to provide documentation to show cause why the request for a Fair Hearing should not be dismissed on or before August 21, 2023, would result in dismissal of the case.

On August 10, 2023, the Office of Fair Hearings received a response from Petitioner, however the response did not show that there are additional issues that the Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction to address. If the Petitioner receives a bill for the services rendered from [REDACTED], [REDACTED] may request a new Fair Hearing at that time.

Based on the foregoing,

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:**

This case is dismissed as moot, and is now closed.

**DONE AND ORDERED** this 29th day of August 2023 in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.



Joseph Mabry  
23-FH1693  
2023.08.29  
07:45:09 -04'00'

---

**JOSEPH MABRY, Hearing Officer**  
**Agency for Health Care Administration**  
**Office of Fair Hearings**  
**2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407**

**NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW**

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

**COPIES FURNISHED TO:**



**Sunshine State Health Plan, Inc.**  
**SunshineHealth\_MFH@centene.com**

**AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit**  
**MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com**