



**FILED**

Nov 06, 2023, 9:15 am  
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

**STATE OF FLORIDA  
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION  
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS**

[REDACTED],

**PETITIONER,**

**AHCA Case No.: 23-FH1988**

**vs.**

**AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE  
ADMINISTRATION,**

**RESPONDENT.**

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**FINAL ORDER**

Pursuant to notice, the undersigned convened a telephonic Fair Hearing on the instant case on October 5, 2023, at 8:56 a.m. Eastern Standard Time ("EST").

**APPEARANCES**

For the Petitioner:

[REDACTED]

Petitioner's Authorized Representative

For the Respondent:

Linda Latson  
Registered Nurse Specialist  
Agency for Health Care Administration

**STATEMENT OF ISSUE**

The issue is whether Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's decision to terminate Petitioner's Behavior Analysis ("BA" or "ABA") services was correct.

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

All parties and witnesses appeared telephonically. Petitioner's Authorized Representative and [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (" [REDACTED] "), appeared on behalf of Petitioner.

Linda Latson (“Ms. Latson”), Registered Nurse Specialist and Fair Hearing Liaison for the Agency for Health Care Administration (“Agency” or “AHCA”), appeared on behalf of Respondent. Dr. Joseph Darling (“Dr. Darling”), Board Certified Behavior Analyst at the doctoral level and Second Level Reviewer for eQHealth Solutions Inc. (“eQHealth”), appeared as a witness for Respondent.

Petitioner did not introduce any exhibits at the hearing. Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a one-hundred and sixteen (116)-page evidence packet and a forty-nine (49)-page evidence packet. The one-hundred and sixteen (116)-page evidence packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings’ document management system as the file title “[REDACTED] FH 10.05.2023.pdf”. The forty-nine (49)-page evidence packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings’ document management system as the file title “23-FH1988 AHCA Evidence Packet BA Services 49 Pages.pdf”. Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the one-hundred and sixteen (116)-page evidence packet into evidence as Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 1 (“RCE 1”) and the forty-nine (49)-page evidence packet into evidence as Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 (“RCE 2”).

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Petitioner receives Medicaid services on a fee-for-service basis from the Agency. eQHealth is a Quality Improvement Organization contracted by the Agency to review prior authorization requests for services. See page 2 of RCE 2.
2. Petitioner is [REDACTED]. See page 21 of RCE 1. Petitioner is diagnosed with [REDACTED].  
[REDACTED]. *Id.*

3. Petitioner requested continuation of BA services; specifically, 2,600 units of code 97153; 416 units of code 97155; and 104 units of code 97156. *Id.* at 29. In a Notice of Outcome (“NOO”), dated July 5, 2023, Respondent terminated Petitioner’s ABA services. The NOO explained the basis for the termination as follows:

[T]he requested services are not medically necessary under the following standard(s):

Consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational.  
Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.

The NOO further provided:

PR Clinical Rationale – Denial: According to the Florida Medicaid State Plan (Appendix 9.3.b), the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. The recommendations for procedural modifications include: additions/changes to treatment plan to impact behaviors targeted for reduction. Procedural modifications should include one or more of the following: antecedent manipulation modifications, modifications of prompting procedures used in acquisition, modifications in consequence-based strategies- - ones that either reduce maladaptive behavior or reinforce replacement behavior (e.g., manipulation of reinforcement schedules, switch to a different decelerative procedure), or if lack of progress was due to therapist error (e.g., poor data collection or poor training on intervention methods), how you will address human error. The provider has not addressed the lack of progress during the last observation period and did not amend the treatment plan in relation to the lack of progress. The information submitted does not meet standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. The justification submitted with this treatment is insufficient given the requested units and the recipient’s maladaptive behaviors and skill deficits addressed in this treatment plan. This request is denied.

...

Pages 29 – 30 of RCE 1.

4. Petitioner requested reconsideration of the Respondent’s decision. In a Notice of Reconsideration Determination (“NRD”), dated August 9, 2023, Respondent upheld its decision.

*Id.* at 41. The NRD explained the basis for the decision as follows:

PR Recon Determination: At reconsideration all documents were carefully reviewed. According to the Florida Medicaid State Plan (Appendix 9.3.b), the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. The recommendations for procedural modifications include: additions/changes to treatment plan to impact behaviors targeted for reduction. Procedural modifications should include one or more of the following: antecedent manipulation modifications, modifications of prompting procedures used in acquisition, modifications in consequence-based strategies- - ones that either reduce maladaptive behavior or reinforce replacement behavior (e.g., manipulation of reinforcement schedules, switch to a different decelerative procedure), or if lack of progress was due to therapist error (e.g., poor data collection or poor training on intervention methods), how the provider will address human error. The recommendations are insufficient to support continued care.

...

Pages 41 – 42 of RCE 1.

5. On August 8, 2023, Petitioner requested a Fair Hearing to challenge the termination of ABA services. *Id.* at 8. On September 8, 2023, the undersigned issued an Order Scheduling Fair Hearing by Telephone and Prehearing Instructions, scheduling the hearing for October 5, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. EST. *Id.*

6. Dr. Darling is a Board Certified Behavior Analyst at the doctoral level. Dr. Darling testified to the following at the Fair Hearing:

- a. Services in the instant case were not consistent with the symptoms of Petitioner’s confirmed diagnosis and were in excess of the patient’s needs. Services were also inconsistent with generally accepted professional medical standards.

- b. Three (3) Board Certified Behavior Analysts reviewed the treatment plan to determine whether it met the standards of applied behavior analysis. The first reviewer had questions based on the graphs in the treatment plan and referred the plan to another reviewer. The second Board Certified Behavior Analyst determined that the plan needed modification, as well as that the plan did not exhibit sufficient progress. This reviewer requested additional information. The provider did not respond. Since the provider did not address the deficits in the treatment plan concerning the lack of progress, services were denied. The third reviewer, a Board Certified Behavior Analyst at the doctoral level, reviewed the plan and determined that not enough progress was being made, as well as that the provider was not developing the treatment plan appropriately after nearly [REDACTED] of therapy.
- c. Petitioner is diagnosed with [REDACTED]. The practice guidelines set forth by the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Medical Association, and the Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists, concerning the most effective treatment of [REDACTED], mention ABA therapy as an effective treatment. However, there are also several other effective treatments for [REDACTED] that should be implemented. In this case, it is not necessary for Petitioner to receive ABA services. There are other avenues of therapy for [REDACTED] diagnoses.
- d. Ideally, a treatment plan will show a decrease in the occurrences of maladaptive behaviors over time and an increase in the occurrences of the replacement behaviors over time. The third treatment plan submitted demonstrates minimal

changes regarding the maladaptive behaviors targeted for reduction. See pages 100-101 of RCE 1. The maladaptive behaviors of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] have increased throughout the authorization period. *Id.* at 100. There were no changes made to the treatment plan in response. The maladaptive behaviors of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] have not decreased during the course of treatment. *Id.* The maladaptive behaviors of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] are increasing. *Id.* at 101. These behaviors have been targeted for reduction by this treatment plan, and the behaviors are either not decreasing or are increasing, demonstrating ineffective treatment.

- e. The replacement behaviors, which are the targeted behaviors to increase, have been decreasing. *Id.* at 106. Specifically, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. There is no indication that the provider is making changes to the treatment plan.
- f. The provider did make a change to the treatment plan following the denial by implementing a Token Economy. *Id.* at 97. A Token Economy was effective for reducing some maladaptive behaviors. However, this change does not address the maladaptive behaviors of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. This change was also not implemented because Petitioner needed the intervention, but was rather implemented because the reviewers told the provider to make changes to the treatment plan.

7. [REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] of Petitioner. [REDACTED] testified to the following at the Fair Hearing:

- a. [REDACTED] stated that there was [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated Petitioner was [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated Petitioner has [REDACTED]  
and [REDACTED].
- b. [REDACTED] stated Petitioner has changed therapists [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] stated Petitioner has changed [REDACTED].
- c. [REDACTED] believes that the ABA services have helped Petitioner.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

8. The Agency’s Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and the parties pursuant to section 409.285(2), Florida Statutes (2019). This order is the final administrative decision of AHCA under section 409.285(2)(a).

9. This hearing was held as a *de novo* proceeding pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(b).

10. Because Respondent terminated a previously approved service, Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(g) assigns the burden of proof to the Respondent. The standard of proof in an administrative hearing is a preponderance of the evidence. The preponderance of the evidence standard requires proof by “the greater weight of the evidence” (Black’s Law Dictionary at 1201, 7th Ed.)

11. The Florida Medicaid Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (October 2017) (“BA Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code. R. 59G-4.125, governs BA services available under Florida Medicaid. The BA Policy provides as follows:

**1.0 Introduction**

Behavior analysis (BA) services are highly structured interventions, strategies, and approaches provided to decrease maladaptive behaviors and increase or reinforce appropriate behaviors.

...

**1.4.5 Medically Necessary/Medical Necessity**

As defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.

...

**4.0 Coverage Information**

**4.1 General Criteria**

Florida Medicaid covers services that meet all of the following:

- Are determined medically necessary
- Do not duplicate another service
- Meet the criteria as specified in this policy

**4.2 Specific Criteria**

Florida Medicaid covers the following BA services in accordance with the applicable Florida Medicaid fee schedule(s), or as specified in this policy:

**4.2.1 Behavior Assessment**

One per fiscal year, per recipient, when completed within 30 days of the start of the assessment.

**4.2.2 Behavior Analysis**

Up to 40 hours per week, per recipient, consisting of services identified on the recipient’s behavior plan in order to reduce maladaptive behaviors and to restore the recipient to his or her best functional level. Services include:

- Implementing behavior analysis interventions, and monitoring and assessing the recipient’s progress towards goals in the behavior plan
- Behavior analysis interventions, for example, discrete trial teaching, task analysis training, differential reinforcement, non-contingent reinforcement, conducting task analyses of complex responses, and teaching using chaining, prompting, fading, shaping, response cost, and extinction
- Training the recipient’s family, caregiver(s), and other involved persons on the implementation of the behavior plan and intervention strategies (the recipient must be present when clinically appropriate)

...

**4.3 Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment**

As required by federal law, Florida Medicaid provides services to eligible recipients under the age of 21 years, if such services are medically necessary to correct or

ameliorate a defect, a condition, or a physical or mental illness. Included are diagnostic services, treatment, equipment, supplies, and other measures described in sectioned 1905(a) of the Social Security Act, codified in Title 42 of the United States Code 1396d(a). As such, services for recipients under the age of 21 years exceeding the coverage described within this policy or the associated fee schedule may be approved, if medically necessary. For more information, please refer to Florida Medicaid's General Policies on authorization requirements.

12. Appendix 9.0 of the BA Policy provides Review Criteria for Behavior Analysis Services.

These Review Criteria state as follows:

### **Review Criteria for Behavior Analysis Services**

Behavior analysis (BA) services are considered as either the treatment of choice or as an adjunct treatment modality for a variety of conditions and disorders where maladaptive behaviors are part of the recipient's clinical presentation, including behavioral manifestations of diagnoses such as Autism Spectrum Disorder and other behavioral health conditions.

### **Critical Elements Necessary for ANY Type of Behavior Analysis Service:**

The following critical elements **MUST** be satisfied to qualify for BA services:

- a. Eligibility – The recipient must meet all criteria for BA services as outlined in the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, Rule 59G-4.125, F.A.C.
- b. Medical necessity – The recipient must meet medical necessity criteria as outlined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.
- c. The recipient currently engages in maladaptive behaviors
- d. These maladaptive behaviors interfere with the recipient's daily functioning

**1. Criteria for Initial Behavior Analysis Assessment - BOTH** of the following **MUST** be satisfied:

- a. **ALL** critical elements are met
- b. Provide submits a valid written physician's order as stipulated in the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, Rule 59G-4.125, F.A.C.

**2. Criteria for Behavior Analysis Services and Reassessments – ALL** of the following **MUST** be satisfied:

- a. **ALL** critical elements are met
- b. An assessment or, if applicable, a reassessment, authored by a lead analyst, is provided. An assessment of the maladaptive behavior(s) is a necessary element of the process of identifying the frequency and magnitude of the behaviors as well as the variables associated with the occurrence of the maladaptive behavior(s). This helps in defining what

are the functional consequences of the problem behavior(s) so that an adequate behavior plan can be implemented. This (re)assessment **MUST** include, at a minimum, **ALL** of the following:

- i. A clear operational description of the maladaptive behavior(s)
- ...
- c. A behavior plan authored or updated by a lead analyst. The behavior plan is the cornerstone of the delivery of behavior analysis services and it is based on the information obtained in the assessment. It proposes specific interventions to reduce or eliminate the maladaptive behavior. These interventions take into consideration the variables, both present before the behavior, as well as after the behavior, that influence the occurrence of the maladaptive behavior(s). This plan also includes replacement appropriate behaviors for the recipient to engage in instead of the maladaptive behaviors in order to obtain the same function. The plan must be detailed enough to warrant the requested services and include mechanisms to monitor its effectiveness. This **MUST** include, at a minimum, **ALL** of the following:
  - i. Observable and measurable descriptions of the maladaptive behavior(s)
  - ii. Identified function of the maladaptive behavior(s) behavior as a result of the assessment or reassessment conducted
  - iii. Goals and strategies for changing the maladaptive behavior(s)
  - iv. Written detailed description of when, where, and how often these goals will be addressed and proposed strategies will be implemented
  - v. System for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the plan
  - vi. Safety and crisis plan, if applicable
  - vii. Summary and recommendations
  - viii. Discharge criteria
  - ix. Transition plan (if applicable)

NOTE: Although the assessment and behavior plan were addressed separately in section 2, both of them can be submitted as a single document.

**3. Criteria for Continuation of Treatment at the Present Level and/or Using Current Methods:** Providers must ensure that ALL of the following criteria are met to request continuation of treatments at the present level or using the current methods. If criteria for 3a is met, but criteria for 3b and/or 3c are not met, then a reduction of the treatment level and/or change of treatment methods may be warranted.

- a. ALL criteria listed in 2a, 2b, and 2c regarding critical elements, assessment or reassessment, and behavior plan, are met.

- b. The data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan.
- c. The level of functional impairment justifies continuation of BA services. The reviewer utilizes the information provided below as a guide as it relates to the level of functional impairment as expressed through the following behaviors:
  - i. Safety – aggression, self-injury, property destruction, elopement
  - ii. Communication – problems with expressive/receptive language, poor understanding or use of non-verbal communications, stereotyped, repetitive language
  - iii. Self-stimulating, abnormal, inflexible, or intense preoccupations
  - iv. Self-care – difficulty recognizing risks or danger, grooming, eating, or toileting
  - v. Other – behaviors not identified above

13. States must provide Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (“EPSDT”) services to Medicaid-eligible children under age 21 when requested under the Medicaid state plan. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43); 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(a)(4). According to 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(r)(5), EPSDT services mean, in relevant part, the following items and services:

Such other necessary health care, diagnostic services, treatment, and other measures described in subsection (a) of this section to correct or ameliorate defects and physical and mental illness and conditions discovered by the screen services, whether or not such services are covered under the state plan.

14. Petitioner is under age 21, and therefore EPSDT applies to [REDACTED] request for services. However, a state may place medical necessity limitations on EPSDT services. *See* 42 C.F.R. §§ 440.230(a), (b), (d). Fla. Stat. § 409.905(2) limits EPSDT services with a medical necessity standard:

The [Agency] shall pay for early and periodic screening and diagnosis of a recipient under age 21 to ascertain physical and mental problems and conditions and all services determined by the agency to be medically necessary for the treatment, correction, or amelioration of these problems and conditions, including personal care, private duty nursing, durable medical equipment, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, respiratory therapy, and immunizations.

15. The Definitions Policy, incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010, defines “Medically Necessary” or “Medical Necessity” as follows:

The medical or allied care, goods, or services furnished or ordered must meet the following conditions:

- Be necessary to protect life, to prevent significant illness or significant disability, or to alleviate pain
- Be individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs
- Be consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational
- Be reflective of the level of service that can be safely furnished, and for which no equally effective and more conservative or less costly treatment is available statewide
- Be furnished in a manner not primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient, the recipient’s caretaker, or the provider

The fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service.

16. The Florida Medicaid Authorization Requirements Policy (“Authorization Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.053, provides as follows:

**3.2.1 Continued Authorization Requests**

The QIO shall not deny or reduce the amount, frequency, or duration of a service that is already being provided, unless:

- The reduction is to correct for factual error or omissions in prior certifications.
- There is a documented improvement in the recipient’s medical condition.
- There is a documented change in the recipient’s circumstances.
- The reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.

17. In the instant case, Respondent terminated Petitioner’s ABA services. See ¶ 3. In the NOO dated July 5, 2023, Respondent explained that continuing services at the prior level was not

medically necessary, specifically, that it did not meet the requirements that services must be “consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational”, as well as “individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.” *Id.* Respondent further explained that “the information submitted does not meet standards of care within the field of behavior analysis.” *Id.*

18. As provided by the EPSDT requirements, the recipient must meet the medical necessity criteria as outlined in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010. As provided in section 2.83 of the Definitions Policy, two components of medical necessity are that services must be “consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational”, as well as “individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.” As shown by the record, Petitioner’s maladaptive behaviors are not decreasing, nor are ■ replacement behaviors increasing. See ¶ 9. Dr. Darling stated that three (3)-Board Certified Behavior Analysts reviewed the treatment plan and determined that the progress was not sufficient to support continued services. *Id.* Several of Petitioner’s maladaptive behaviors have increased throughout the authorization, while others have not decreased as they should, demonstrating an ineffective treatment plan. *Id.* Dr. Darling also testified that the behaviors targeted to increase have been decreasing. *Id.* Dr. Darling asserted that Petitioner does not require ABA services at all, as there are several effective treatments set forth by the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Medical Association, and the Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists to treat Petitioner’s diagnosis of ■. *Id.* As Petitioner has not made

substantial improvements, the record shows that Petitioner will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.

19. As QIO for the Agency, eQHealth is authorized to terminate services when “the reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.” See ¶ 16. As discussed, *supra* ¶ 18, Petitioner has not made progress in reducing ■■■■ maladaptive behaviors, nor in improving ■■■■ replacement behaviors. Here, the ineffectiveness of the treatment plan is well documented.

20. Upon consideration of the testimony provided, evidence submitted, and applicable polices, the undersigned concludes that Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the termination of ABA services was necessary. Looking at all the evidence relevant to the particular needs of Petitioner, Respondent has demonstrated that the previously authorized services through this provider, based on the treatment plan at issue in this case, are not necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect or a physical and mental illness or condition. Accordingly, Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent’s termination of ABA services was correct.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:**

Respondent’s termination of ABA services is **AFFIRMED**. Petitioner’s appeal based on Respondent’s termination is **DENIED**.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** this 6th day of November, 2023, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.



Joseph Mabry  
23-FH1988  
2023.11.06 07:52:56  
-05'00'

---

**JOSEPH MABRY, Hearing Officer**  
**Agency for Health Care Administration**  
**Office of Fair Hearings**  
**2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407**

**NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW**

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

**Copies Furnished To:**

  


**AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit**  
**MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com**