



STATE OF FLORIDA
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

FILED

Jan 17, 2024, 11:15 am

OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

[REDACTED]

PETITIONER,

AHCA Case No.: 23-FH2390

vs.

AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE
ADMINISTRATION,

RESPONDENT.

_____ /

FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to notice, the undersigned convened a telephonic Fair Hearing on the instant case on December 4, 2023, at 9:10 a.m. Eastern Standard Time ("EST").

APPEARANCES

For the Petitioner:

[REDACTED]

Petitioner's Authorized Representative

For the Respondent:

Linda Latson
Registered Nurse Specialist
Agency for Health Care Administration

STATEMENT OF ISSUE

The issue is whether Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's decision to terminate Petitioner's Behavior Analysis ("BA" or "ABA") services was correct.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

All parties and witnesses appeared telephonically. Petitioner's Authorized Representative and Board Certified Assistant Behavior Analyst, [REDACTED] (" [REDACTED] "), appeared on behalf

of Petitioner. The following attended as witnesses for Petitioner: Dorelys Gordillo (“Ms. Gordillo”), Licensed Mental Health Counselor and Clinical Supervisor with [REDACTED]; Ovidio Martinez (“Mr. Martinez”), Board Certified Behavior Analyst; Edwina Fernandez (“Ms. Fernandez”), Board Certified Behavior Analyst; and [REDACTED] (“[REDACTED]”), [REDACTED] of Petitioner.

Linda Latson (“Ms. Latson”), Registered Nurse Specialist and Fair Hearing Liaison for the Agency for Health Care Administration (“Agency” or “AHCA”), appeared on behalf of Respondent. Dr. David Bicard (“Dr. Bicard”), Board Certified Behavior Analyst and Director of Clinical Operations for eQHealth Solutions Inc. (“eQHealth”), appeared as a witness for Respondent.

Marco, interpreter number 254113, appeared to provide translation services for Petitioner.

Prior to the hearing, Petitioner sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Respondent a thirteen (13)-page evidence packet. The thirteen (13)-page evidence packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings’ document management system as the file titles “1. Request Evidence US Mail [REDACTED].pdf” and “2. Evidence School US Mail [REDACTED].pdf”. Absent an objection from the Respondent, the undersigned admitted the thirteen (13)-page evidence packet into evidence as Petitioner’s Composite Exhibit 1 (“PCE 1”).

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a three-hundred and eighty (380)-page evidence packet and a forty-nine (49)-page evidence packet. The three-hundred and eighty (380)-page evidence packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings’ document management system as the file titles “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023 1-79.pdf”, “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023 80-111.pdf”, “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023 112-150.pdf”, “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023

151-198.pdf”, “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023 199-238.pdf”, “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023 239-287.pdf”, “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023 288-326.pdf”, “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023 327-374.pdf”, and “[REDACTED] FH 12.04.2023 375-380.pdf”. The forty-nine (49)-page evidence packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings’ document management system as the file title “23-FH2390 AHCA Evidence BA Svcs 49 Pages.pdf”. Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the three-hundred and eighty (380)-page evidence packet into evidence as Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 1 (“RCE 1”) and the forty-nine (49)-page evidence packet into evidence as Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 (“RCE 2”).

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner receives Medicaid services on a fee-for-service basis from the Agency. eQHealth is a Quality Improvement Organization contracted by the Agency to review prior authorization requests for services. See page 2 of RCE 2.

2. Petitioner is [REDACTED]. See page 16 of RCE 1. Petitioner is diagnosed with the following: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. *Id.*

3. As provided in the Behavior Analysis Re-Assessment (“treatment plan” or “behavior plan”), Petitioner is engaging in the following maladaptive behaviors: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; and [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 303 – 304. The treatment plan shows the following progress: for [REDACTED], for the period of [REDACTED], Petitioner reduced [REDACTED] incidents from [REDACTED], and on [REDACTED], Petitioner’s incident was reported as [REDACTED]; for

[REDACTED], for the period of [REDACTED], Petitioner reduced [REDACTED] incidents from [REDACTED], and on [REDACTED], Petitioner's incident was reported as [REDACTED]; for [REDACTED], for the period of [REDACTED], Petitioner reduced [REDACTED] incidents from [REDACTED], and on [REDACTED], Petitioner's incident was reported as [REDACTED]; for [REDACTED], for the period of [REDACTED], Petitioner reduced [REDACTED] incidents from [REDACTED] and on [REDACTED], Petitioner's incident was reported as [REDACTED]; for [REDACTED], for the period of [REDACTED], Petitioner reduced [REDACTED] incidents from [REDACTED] and on [REDACTED], Petitioner's incident was reported as [REDACTED] for [REDACTED], for the period of [REDACTED], Petitioner reduced [REDACTED] incidents from [REDACTED], and on [REDACTED], Petitioner's incident was reported as [REDACTED]; for [REDACTED] for the period of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Petitioner reduced [REDACTED] incidents from [REDACTED] and on [REDACTED], Petitioner's incident was reported [REDACTED]; and for [REDACTED], for the period of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Petitioner reduced [REDACTED] incidents from [REDACTED], and on [REDACTED], Petitioner's incident was reported as [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 307 – 314.

4. Petitioner requested continuation of BA services; specifically, 3,120 units of code 97153; 416 units of code 97155; and 208 units of code 97156. In a Notice of Outcome (“NOO”), dated July 25, 2023, Respondent terminated Petitioner’s ABA services. *Id.* at 24. The NOO explained the basis for the termination as follows:

[T]he requested services are not medically necessary under the following standard(s):

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.

Consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational.

The NOO further provided:

PR Clinical Rationale – Denial: According to the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (9.2.b) treatment for behaviors must be tied to the function of maladaptive behavior. The treatment must be individualized, specific, and meet generally accepted standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. The provider’s treatment plan includes a general listing of treatment that is not tied to behavioral function. The interventions listed cannot be implemented simultaneously. The treatment plan is not individualized for the recipient and does not meet generally accepted standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. The justification submitted with this treatment is insufficient given the requested units and the recipient’s maladaptive behaviors and skill deficits addressed in this treatment plan. This request for services is denied.

...

Pages 24 – 25 of RCE 1.

5. Petitioner requested reconsideration of the Respondent’s decision. In a Notice of Reconsideration Determination (“NRD”), dated September 20, 2023, Respondent upheld its decision. *Id.* at 36 – 37. The NRD explained the basis for the decision as follows:

PR Recon Determination: At reconsideration all documents were carefully reviewed.. According to the Florida Medicaid State Plan (Appendix 9.3.b), the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. The recommendations for procedural modifications include: additions/changes to treatment plan to impact behaviors targeted for reduction. Procedural modifications should include one or more of the following: antecedent manipulation modifications, modifications of prompting procedures used in acquisition, modifications in consequence-based strategies- - ones that either reduce maladaptive behavior or reinforce replacement behavior (e.g., manipulation of reinforcement schedules, switch to a different decelerative procedure), or if lack of progress was due to therapist error (e.g., poor data collection or poor training on intervention methods), how the provider will address human error. The recommendations are insufficient to support continued care.

...

Pages 36 – 37 of RCE 1.

6. On September 19, 2023, Petitioner requested a Fair Hearing to challenge the termination of ABA services. *Id.* at 8. On October 25, 2023, the undersigned issued an Order Scheduling Fair Hearing by Telephone and Prehearing Instructions, setting the hearing for December 4, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. EST. *Id.*

7. Dr. Bicard is a Board Certified Behavior Analyst at the doctoral level. Dr. Bicard testified to the following at the Fair Hearing:

- a. Petitioner has not made progress during the last authorization regarding [REDACTED] maladaptive behaviors or skill acquisition goals, and the provider has not identified changes to treatment. Adequate progress alone is a standard of care within the field of ABA. Code 97155 refers to protocol modification units, which involves the analyst's observation of Petitioner's behavior and development of interventions, reflected in the treatment plan and graphs. The provider was approved for four (4) hours of protocol modification in the previous authorization, but there is no protocol modification present in the treatment plan. There is little evidence that Petitioner's behavior will improve with continued treatment with this provider, and Petitioner may seek services from a different provider.
- b. According to the final plan submitted at reconsideration, most of Petitioner's maladaptive behaviors occur between [REDACTED] times per week. [REDACTED] is occurring at an extremely high level. *See* page 307 of RCE 1. The data is generally flat. *Id.* The high frequency of this behavior for this length of time with no intervention does not meet standards of care within the field of behavior analysis and the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy.

Petitioner's [REDACTED] behavior is occurring at a high level with no improvement. *Id.* at 308. The behavior of [REDACTED] exhibits no change in behavior and no intervention. *Id.* at 309. Petitioner's [REDACTED] occur at extremely high levels, with no intervention. *Id.* at 310. Petitioner has also developed new behaviors during the authorization, indicating the provider has not identified the environmental variables affecting Petitioner's behavior.

- c. Petitioner is in danger of becoming prompt dependent. This means the provider is continually prompting behavior to happen. Prompts need to be faded quickly. Petitioner's replacement behavior of [REDACTED] has not occurred independently in six (6) months, and all behavior has been prompted. *Id.* at 319. The behavior occurs at low levels, even with prompts, and there should have been intervention within the first six (6) weeks of care. *Id.* Petitioner is not able to [REDACTED], [REDACTED], or [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 320, 322, 324. All replacement behaviors are occurring well below fifty percent (50%), or chance level, and exhibit no progress. This is below standards of care within ABA.
- d. The Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy outlines criteria for continuation of treatment. *See* page 46 of RCE 2. The policy states that the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. There has been no modification of the behavior plan. Services do not meet Petitioner's needs, nor are they helping Petitioner to reduce [REDACTED] maladaptive behaviors.

8. [REDACTED] is the Board Certified Assistant Behavior Analyst for Petitioner. [REDACTED] testified to the following at the Fair Hearing:

- a. [REDACTED] stated that, in response to correspondence from eQHealth, the provider removed the interventions that were present in the plan by default and individualized the plan based on Petitioner's function. [REDACTED] stated the provider responded line by line to the required information.
- b. [REDACTED] stated that specifications and modifications were made upon the reconsideration request. [REDACTED] stated the provider planned to add intervention and replacement plans, in compliance with eQHealth. [REDACTED] stated the provider's system indicates that Petitioner has made progress during the six (6) months of treatment.

9. [REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] of Petitioner. [REDACTED] testified to the following at the Fair Hearing:

- a. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] had seen Petitioner's progress with therapy, but Petitioner's behaviors have worsened since the denial of services. [REDACTED] stated that Petitioner needs therapy, and [REDACTED] would like services to continue.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

10. The Agency's Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and the parties pursuant to section 409.285(2), Florida Statutes (2019). This order is the final administrative decision of AHCA under section 409.285(2)(a).

11. This hearing was held as a *de novo* proceeding pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(b).

12. Because Respondent terminated a previously approved service, Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(g) assigns the burden of proof to the Respondent. The standard of proof in an administrative hearing is a preponderance of the evidence. The preponderance of the evidence standard requires proof by “the greater weight of the evidence” (Black’s Law Dictionary at 1201, 7th Ed.)

13. The Florida Medicaid Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (October 2017) (“BA Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code. R. 59G-4.125, governs BA services available under Florida Medicaid. The BA Policy provides as follows:

1.0 Introduction

Behavior analysis (BA) services are highly structured interventions, strategies, and approaches provided to decrease maladaptive behaviors and increase or reinforce appropriate behaviors.

...

1.4.5 Medically Necessary/Medical Necessity

As defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.

...

4.0 Coverage Information

4.1 General Criteria

Florida Medicaid covers services that meet all of the following:

- Are determined medically necessary
- Do not duplicate another service
- Meet the criteria as specified in this policy

4.2 Specific Criteria

Florida Medicaid covers the following BA services in accordance with the applicable Florida Medicaid fee schedule(s), or as specified in this policy:

4.2.1 Behavior Assessment

One per fiscal year, per recipient, when completed within 30 days of the start of the assessment.

4.2.2 Behavior Analysis

Up to 40 hours per week, per recipient, consisting of services identified on the recipient’s behavior plan in order to reduce maladaptive behaviors and to restore the recipient to his or her best functional level. Services include:

- Implementing behavior analysis interventions, and monitoring and assessing the recipient’s progress towards goals in the behavior plan
- Behavior analysis interventions, for example, discrete trial teaching, task analysis training, differential reinforcement, non-contingent

reinforcement, conducting task analyses of complex responses, and teaching using chaining, prompting, fading, shaping, response cost, and extinction

- Training the recipient's family, caregiver(s), and other involved persons on the implementation of the behavior plan and intervention strategies (the recipient must be present when clinically appropriate)

...

4.3 Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment

As required by federal law, Florida Medicaid provides services to eligible recipients under the age of 21 years, if such services are medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect, a condition, or a physical or mental illness. Included are diagnostic services, treatment, equipment, supplies, and other measures described in sectioned 1905(a) of the Social Security Act, codified in Title 42 of the United States Code 1396d(a). As such, services for recipients under the age of 21 years exceeding the coverage described within this policy or the associated fee schedule may be approved, if medically necessary. For more information, please refer to Florida Medicaid's General Policies on authorization requirements.

14. Appendix 9.0 of the BA Policy provides Review Criteria for Behavior Analysis Services.

These Review Criteria state as follows:

Review Criteria for Behavior Analysis Services

Behavior analysis (BA) services are considered as either the treatment of choice or as an adjunct treatment modality for a variety of conditions and disorders where maladaptive behaviors are part of the recipient's clinical presentation, including behavioral manifestations of diagnoses such as Autism Spectrum Disorder and other behavioral health conditions.

Critical Elements Necessary for ANY Type of Behavior Analysis Service:

The following critical elements **MUST** be satisfied to qualify for BA services:

- a. Eligibility – The recipient must meet all criteria for BA services as outlined in the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, Rule 59G-4.125, F.A.C.
- b. Medical necessity – The recipient must meet medical necessity criteria as outlined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.
- c. The recipient currently engages in maladaptive behaviors
- d. These maladaptive behaviors interfere with the recipient's daily functioning

1. Criteria for Initial Behavior Analysis Assessment - BOTH of the following MUST be satisfied:

- a. **ALL** critical elements are met

- b. Provide submits a valid written physician's order as stipulated in the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, Rule 59G-4.125, F.A.C.

2. Criteria for Behavior Analysis Services and Reassessments – ALL of the following **MUST be satisfied:**

- a. **ALL** critical elements are met
- b. An assessment or, if applicable, a reassessment, authored by a lead analyst, is provided. An assessment of the maladaptive behavior(s) is a necessary element of the process of identifying the frequency and magnitude of the behaviors as well as the variables associated with the occurrence of the maladaptive behavior(s). This helps in defining what are the functional consequences of the problem behavior(s) so that an adequate behavior plan can be implemented. This (re)assessment **MUST** include, at a minimum, **ALL** of the following:
 - i. A clear operational description of the maladaptive behavior(s)
 - ...
- c. A behavior plan authored or updated by a lead analyst. The behavior plan is the cornerstone of the delivery of behavior analysis services and it is based on the information obtained in the assessment. It proposes specific interventions to reduce or eliminate the maladaptive behavior. These interventions take into consideration the variables, both present before the behavior, as well as after the behavior, that influence the occurrence of the maladaptive behavior(s). This plan also includes replacement appropriate behaviors for the recipient to engage in instead of the maladaptive behaviors in order to obtain the same function. The plan must be detailed enough to warrant the requested services and include mechanisms to monitor its effectiveness. This **MUST** include, at a minimum, **ALL** of the following:
 - i. Observable and measurable descriptions of the maladaptive behavior(s)
 - ii. Identified function of the maladaptive behavior(s) behavior as a result of the assessment or reassessment conducted
 - iii. Goals and strategies for changing the maladaptive behavior(s)
 - iv. Written detailed description of when, where, and how often these goals will be addressed and proposed strategies will be implemented
 - v. System for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the plan
 - vi. Safety and crisis plan, if applicable
 - vii. Summary and recommendations
 - viii. Discharge criteria
 - ix. Transition plan (if applicable)

NOTE: Although the assessment and behavior plan were addressed separately in section 2, both of them can be submitted as a single document.

3. Criteria for Continuation of Treatment at the Present Level and/or Using Current Methods: Providers must ensure that ALL of the following criteria are met to request continuation of treatments at the present level or using the current methods. If criteria for 3a is met, but criteria for 3b and/or 3c are not met, then a reduction of the treatment level and/or change of treatment methods may be warranted.

- a. ALL criteria listed in 2a, 2b, and 2c regarding critical elements, assessment or reassessment, and behavior plan, are met.
- b. The data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan.
- c. The level of functional impairment justifies continuation of BA services. The reviewer utilizes the information provided below as a guide as it relates to the level of functional impairment as expressed through the following behaviors:
 - i. Safety – aggression, self-injury, property destruction, elopement
 - ii. Communication – problems with expressive/receptive language, poor understanding or use of non-verbal communications, stereotyped, repetitive language
 - iii. Self-stimulating, abnormal, inflexible, or intense preoccupations
 - iv. Self-care – difficulty recognizing risks or danger, grooming, eating, or toileting
 - v. Other – behaviors not identified above

15. States must provide Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (“EPSDT”) services to Medicaid-eligible children under age 21 when requested under the Medicaid state plan. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43); 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(a)(4). According to 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(r)(5), EPSDT services mean, in relevant part, the following items and services:

Such other necessary health care, diagnostic services, treatment, and other measures described in subsection (a) of this section to correct or ameliorate defects and physical and mental illness and conditions discovered by the screen services, whether or not such services are covered under the state plan.

16. Petitioner is under age 21, and therefore EPSDT applies to [REDACTED] request for services. However, a state may place medical necessity limitations on EPSDT services. *See* 42 C.F.R. §§

440.230(a), (b), (d). Fla. Stat. § 409.905(2) limits EPSDT services with a medical necessity standard:

The [Agency] shall pay for early and periodic screening and diagnosis of a recipient under age 21 to ascertain physical and mental problems and conditions and all services determined by the agency to be medically necessary for the treatment, correction, or amelioration of these problems and conditions, including personal care, private duty nursing, durable medical equipment, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, respiratory therapy, and immunizations.

17. The Definitions Policy, incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010, defines “Medically Necessary” or “Medical Necessity” as follows:

The medical or allied care, goods, or services furnished or ordered must meet the following conditions:

- Be necessary to protect life, to prevent significant illness or significant disability, or to alleviate pain
- Be individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs
- Be consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational
- Be reflective of the level of service that can be safely furnished, and for which no equally effective and more conservative or less costly treatment is available statewide
- Be furnished in a manner not primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient, the recipient’s caretaker, or the provider

The fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service.

18. The Florida Medicaid Authorization Requirements Policy (“Authorization Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.053, provides as follows:

3.2.1 Continued Authorization Requests

The QIO shall not deny or reduce the amount, frequency, or duration of a service that is already being provided, unless:

- The reduction is to correct for factual error or omissions in prior certifications.
- There is a documented improvement in the recipient's medical condition.
- There is a documented change in the recipient's circumstances.
- The reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.

19. In the instant case, Respondent terminated Petitioner's ABA services. See ¶ 4. In the NOO dated July 25, 2023, Respondent explained that continuing services at the prior level was not medically necessary, specifically, that it did not meet the requirements that services must be "individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs", as well as "consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational." *Id.* Respondent further explained, "The provider's treatment plan includes a general listing of treatment that is not tied to behavioral function. The interventions listed cannot be implemented simultaneously. The treatment plan is not individualized for the recipient and does not meet generally accepted standards of care within the field of behavior analysis." *Id.*

20. As provided by the EPSDT requirements, the recipient must meet the medical necessity criteria as outlined in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010. As provided in section 2.83 of the Definitions Policy, two components of medical necessity are that services must be "individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs", as well as "consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational." As shown by the record, Petitioner's maladaptive and replacement behaviors do not exhibit adequate progress. See ¶¶ 3, 7. Dr. Bicard provided credible testimony that most of

Petitioner's maladaptive behaviors occur at extremely high levels, with minimal change and no intervention over the past six (6) months of treatment. *Id.* Dr. Bicard also testified that all of Petitioner's replacement behaviors are occurring well below fifty percent (50%), or chance level, and exhibit no progress. *Id.* The Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy requires that modification be made to the treatment plan when there is a lack of progress, and the provider's treatment plan lacks necessary intervention. *Id.* The lack of progress and lack of intervention do not meet standards of care within the field of ABA. *Id.* The provider in this case was approved for four (4)-hours of protocol modification to implement interventions but failed to do so. *Id.* As the provider had not utilized the approved services to implement necessary intervention to facilitate Petitioner's progress, services were not "individualized, specific, and consistent" with Petitioner's treatment needs. Furthermore, as the treatment plan lacks adequate progress and intervention, the treatment plan is not "consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards."

21. As QIO for the Agency, eQHealth is authorized to terminate services when "the reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level." *See* ¶ 18. As discussed, *supra* ¶ 20, Petitioner has not made progress in reducing ■■■ maladaptive behaviors, nor in improving ■■■ replacement behaviors. Here, Petitioner's lack of improvement is well documented.


22. Upon consideration of the testimony provided, evidence submitted, and applicable polices, the undersigned concludes that Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the termination of ABA services was necessary as the services do not meet medical necessity criteria.. Looking at all the evidence relevant to the particular needs of Petitioner, Respondent has demonstrated that the previously authorized services, based on the treatment plan at issue

in this case, are not necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect or a physical and mental illness or condition. Accordingly, Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's termination of ABA services was correct.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

Respondent's termination of ABA services is **AFFIRMED**. Petitioner's appeal based on Respondent's termination is **DENIED**.

DONE and ORDERED this 17th day of January 2024, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.

 Joseph Mabry
23-FH2390
2024.01.17 10:45:28
-05'00'

JOSEPH MABRY, Hearing Officer
Agency for Health Care Administration
Office of Fair Hearings
2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11
Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407

NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

Copies Furnished To:




AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit

MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com