



STATE OF FLORIDA
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

FILED

Apr 01, 2024, 10:16 am
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

[REDACTED]

PETITIONER,

AHCA Case No.: 23-FH3052

vs.

AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE
ADMINISTRATION,

RESPONDENT.

_____ /

FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to notice, the undersigned Hearing Officer convened a telephonic Fair Hearing on the instant case on January 22, 2024, at 1:00 P.m. EST.

APPEARANCES

For the Petitioner:

[REDACTED]

Petitioner's Authorized Representative

For the Respondent:

Lee Ann Williams
Medical Health Care Program Analyst
Agency for Health Care Administration

STATEMENT OF ISSUE

The issue is whether Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's decision to terminate Petitioner's behavior analysis ("BA") services was correct.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

All parties and witnesses appeared telephonically. Petitioner's Authorized Representative, [REDACTED] ("[REDACTED]"), a Board Certified Behavior Analyst ("BCBA"), appeared on behalf of Petitioner. Petitioner's [REDACTED], [REDACTED] ("[REDACTED]"), appeared as a witness for Petitioner.

Lee Ann Williams, Medical Health Care Program Analyst for the Agency for Health Care Administration ("Agency" or "AHCA"), appeared on behalf of Respondent. Dr. Kathy Hurley ("Dr. Hurley"), BCBA at the Doctoral Level ("BCBA-D") for eQHealth Solutions, Inc. ("eQHealth") and Florida State Licensed Mental Health Counselor, attended as a witness for Respondent.

Petitioner did not introduce any exhibits at the Fair Hearing.

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a two hundred and forty-one (241)-page evidence packet. The packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings' case management system as "[REDACTED] FH 01.22.2024 1-166.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 01.22.2024 167-213.pdf," and "[REDACTED] FH 01.22.2024 214-241.pdf." Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the evidence packet into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1.

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a fifty (50)-page evidence packet. The packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings' case management system as "23-FH3052 Agency Evidence Legal Authorities 50 Pages.pdf." Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the evidence packet into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner receives Medicaid services on a fee-for-service basis from the Agency. See Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1 at page 16. eQHealth is a Quality Improvement Organization contracted by the Agency to review prior authorization requests for services. See Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2 at page 2.

2. As of the date of the Fair Hearing, Petitioner is [REDACTED] and diagnosed with [REDACTED]. See Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1 at page 16. Petitioner receives BA services from [REDACTED]. *Id.* The Behavior Analysis Re-Evaluation, dated October 17, 2023 ("Treatment Plan"), identified and targeted the following maladaptive behaviors: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 125-126.

3. Petitioner requested the continuation of the following BA services: 2,808 units of code 97153, 208 units of code 97156, and 416 units of code 97155 for the certification period of November 4, 2023, through May 1, 2024. *Id.* at 18-19.

4. The Treatment Plan data graphs for maladaptive behaviors show the following over the last authorization period and generally follow the same trend line: incidents of [REDACTED] decrease from [REDACTED]; incidents of [REDACTED] decrease from [REDACTED]; incidents of [REDACTED] from [REDACTED]; incidents of [REDACTED] decrease from [REDACTED]; incidents of [REDACTED] decrease from [REDACTED]; incidents of [REDACTED] decrease from [REDACTED]; and incidents of [REDACTED] decrease from [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 62 –65.

5. The Treatment Plan data graphs for replacement behaviors show the following over the last authorization period and generally follow the same trend line: [REDACTED] increases from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] when prompted increases from [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] increases from [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] increases from [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] decreases from [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] increases from [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] increases from [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

increases from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] from [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. *Id.* at 81-85. All of Petitioner’s replacement behaviors are well under 50% of opportunity according to the data graphs. *Id.*

6. On October 31, 2023, Respondent issued a Notice of Outcome (“NOO”), terminating Petitioner’s BA services. *Id.* at 22-23. The NOO explained the basis for the termination as follows:

[T]he requested services are not medically necessary under the following standard(s):

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.
...

The NOO further provided:

Submitted information does not support the medical necessity for the requested frequency and/or duration.

PR Clinical Rationale – Denial: According to the Florida Medicaid State Plan (Page 8, 6.2.3), the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. The recommendations for procedural modifications include: additions/changes to treatment plan to impact behaviors targeted for reduction. Procedural modifications should include one or more of the following: antecedent manipulation modifications, modifications of prompting procedures used in acquisition, modifications in consequence-based strategies – ones that either reduce maladaptive behavior or reinforce replacement behavior (e.g., manipulation or reinforcement schedules, switch to a different decelerative procedure), or if lack of progress was due to therapist error (e.g., poor data collection or poor training on intervention methods), how the provider will address human error. The provider has not addressed the lack of

progress during the last observation period and did not amend the treatment plan in relation to the lack of progress or the proposed changes have little chance at improving behavior. The information submitted does not meet standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. This request is denied.

Id.

7. Petitioner requested reconsideration of the Respondent's decision. On December 7, 2023, Respondent issued a Notice of Reconsideration Determination ("NRD") upholding its decision. *Id.* at 34-35. The NRD states, in pertinent part as follows:

Specifically the services must be:

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs.

Consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational.

...

PR Recon Determination: At reconsideration all documents were reviewed carefully. The request was denied for lack of progress. The provider submitted additional documents including data in graphs that are not consistent with data that are typically reported in behavior analysis treatment. Many of the graphs for maladaptive behavior and skill acquisition show the same general pattern, level, and trend in the data. These data do not appear to have been accurately reported or observed and measured according to standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. Additionally, according to the Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (page 5, 4.2.2), treatment for behaviors must be tied to the function of maladaptive behaviors. The treatment must be individualized, specific, and meet generally accepted standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. The provider's treatment plan includes a general listing of procedures for treatment plan that is not tied to behavioral function. The interventions cannot be implemented simultaneously. The treatment plan is not individualized for the recipient and does not meet generally accepted standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. This denial is upheld.

Id.

8. On December 6, 2023, Petitioner requested a Fair Hearing due to the termination of BA services. *Id.* at 8. On January 8, 2024, the undersigned issued an Order Scheduling Fair Hearing by Telephone and Prehearing Instructions setting the hearing for January 22, 2024, at 1:00 p.m.

Id. Administrative approval of continuation of benefits was granted pending the outcome of the Fair Hearing. *Id.* at 18.

9. At the Fair Hearing, Dr. Hurley established that eQHealth reviews behavior analysis cases to ensure that providers are giving quality care consistent with the standards enumerated in the BA Policy as well as professional medical standards of applied behavior analysis (“ABA”). eQHealth reviewed the Treatment Plan submitted in this case to determine whether all five (5) conditions of medical necessity are met. Dr. Hurley asserted that Petitioner’s services were terminated because the Treatment Plan is not consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program and is not individualized and specific to Petitioner.

10. Dr. Hurley established that, according to standards of care in the field of ABA, each maladaptive behavior should decrease by a minimum of 1/3 and ideally by 1/2 over the certification period. [REDACTED] asserted that the Treatment Plan lacks credibility and accuracy because, although progress is shown, the data graphs for maladaptive behaviors all follow the same general pattern, levels, and trend in data. Moreover, the Treatment Plan is not individualized because from June, 2023, to August, 2023, there is little to no progress shown and the provider did not modify the Treatment Plan to address the lack of progress. According to standards of care, a modification or intervention should be made when three data points on the data graph show little to no progress. An intervention would be shown by a vertical line on the data graph marking its start point so that progress can be evaluated, and the graphs do not show that any interventions were made to address the lack of progress. *Id.* With regard to the data graphs for replacement behaviors, the data graphs reflect minimal progress with no behaviors exceeding

█ of opportunity. Dr. Hurley asserted that the data graphs lack credibility and accuracy because the replacement behaviors all follow the same general pattern, levels, and trend in data. Therefore, according to Dr. Hurley, the Treatment Plan does not meet standards of care in ABA and has not been made specific and individualized to the Petitioner's needs to ensure progress. Based on the documentation provided, Dr. Hurley opined that Petitioner would not gain any additional benefit from continuing treatment under the Treatment Plan at issue and that it would be detrimental to Petitioner to continue treatment with this provider's Treatment Plan.

11. █ admitted that the provider experienced data collection issues over the certification period. █ testified that Petitioner has a new diagnosis and that it was not mentioned in the Treatment Plan. █ testified that Petitioner is having trouble reducing maladaptive behaviors in the school setting.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

12. The Agency's Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and the parties pursuant to section 409.285(2), Florida Statutes (2019). This order is the final administrative decision of AHCA under section 409.285(2)(a).

13. This hearing was held as a *de novo* proceeding pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(b).

14. Because Respondent terminated a previously approved service, Fla. Admin. Code R. 59-1.100(17)(g) assigns the burden of proof to the Respondent. The standard of proof in an administrative hearing is a preponderance of the evidence. The preponderance of the evidence standard requires proof by "the greater weight of the evidence" (Black's Law Dictionary at 1201, 7th Ed.).

15. States must provide Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (“EPSDT”) services to Medicaid-eligible children under age 21 when requested under the Medicaid state plan. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43); 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(a)(4).

16. According to 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(r)(5), EPSDT services mean, in relevant part, the following items and services:

Such other necessary health care, diagnostic services, treatment, and other measures described in subsection (a) of this section to correct or ameliorate defects and physical and mental illness and conditions discovered by the screen services, whether or not such services are covered under the state plan.

17. A state may place medical necessity limitations on EPSDT services. *See* 42 C.F.R. §§ 440.230(a), (b), (d).

18. Section 409.905(2), Florida Statutes, limits EPSDT services with a medical necessity standard:

The [Agency] shall pay for early and periodic screening and diagnosis of a recipient under age 21 to ascertain physical and mental problems and conditions and all services determined by the agency to be medically necessary for the treatment, correction, or amelioration of these problems and conditions, including personal care, private duty nursing, durable medical equipment, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, respiratory therapy, and immunizations.

19. The Florida Medicaid Definitions Policy (August 2017) (“Definitions Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010, defines “Medically Necessary” or “Medical Necessity” as follows:

The medical or allied care, goods, or services furnished or ordered must meet the following conditions:

- Be necessary to protect life, to prevent significant illness or significant disability, or to alleviate pain
- Be individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs

- Be consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational
- Be reflective of the level of service that can be safely furnished, and for which no equally effective and more conservative or less costly treatment is available statewide
- Be furnished in a manner not primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient, the recipient’s caretaker, or the provider

The fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service.

Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 at page 7.

20. The Florida Medicaid behavior Analysis services Coverage Policy (September 2023) (“BA Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code. R. 59G-4.125, governs BA services available under Florida Medicaid. The BA Policy provides as follows:

1.0 Introduction

Behavior analysis (BA) services are highly structured interventions, strategies, and approaches provided to decrease maladaptive behaviors and increase or reinforce appropriate behaviors.

...

4.0 Coverage Information

4.1 General Criteria

Florida Medicaid covers services that meet all of the following:

- Are determined medically necessary
- Do no duplicate another
- Meet the criteria as specified in this policy

Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 at page 41-43.

21. The BA Policy further provides as follows:

4.2.1 Behavior Assessment and Behavior Plan

A behavior assessment must be conducted prior to the initiation of behavior analysis interventions. The assessment must identify behavioral deficits that interfere with a major life activity including the events and subsequent interactions that elicit and sustain targeted behavior.

The initial assessment must include the administration, scoring, and reporting of two core standardized behavior instruments, as follows:

- Vineland-3 Comprehensive Parent Interview Form Including Maladaptive Behavior Domain, for all recipients
- Behavior Assessment System for Children, Third Edition, Parenting Relationship Questionnaire (BASC-3 PRQ), for all recipients 2 years old and less than 19 years old

The complete scoring report, including outcome measure scores, must be submitted with service prior authorization requests. Additional assessment tools may be used at the Lead Analyst's discretion.

The behavior plan identifies intervention strategies that are likely to eliminate, mitigate or replace the behavior to produce change sufficient to reengage the recipient in the major life activity. The plan must include specific behavior goal(s), intervention strategies for each goal, anticipated timeframes that are of sufficient duration to address the targeted behavior, and how the ongoing progress of intervention strategies will be reported.

The behavior plan must reflect the requested authorization period (up to six months).

A reassessment and updated behavior plan to renew prior authorization for continued services must be completed at least every six months. The core instruments must be included with reassessments every 12 months.

More frequent assessments must be conducted when:

- New behavior emerges that interferes with a recipient's participation in a major life activity
- Additional BA services are medically necessary and are likely to address the emergent behavior

A full reassessment may be requested if there is a change in provider; however, a change of a practitioner status (e.g., an RBT becoming certified as a BCaBA) is not grounds for conducting a reassessment or updating a behavior plan.

4.2.2 Behavior Analysis Interventions

Florida Medicaid covers up to 40 hours per week of BA intervention services as indicated in the recipient's prior-authorized behavior plan. These services must be delivered to reduce maladaptive behaviors and assist the recipient reach the best possible function level for that individual. Services include:

- Adaptive behavior treatment by protocol - behavior analysis services provided according to the authorized treatment protocol
 - Services may be provided by Lead Analyst, BCaBA, or RBT

- Adaptive behavior treatment with protocol modification – behavior analysis services provided with modifications to the authorized treatment protocol to address behavior and/or response changes or progress
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
- Group adaptive behavior treatment by protocol – behavior analysis services provided in a group setting according to the authorized treatment protocol
 - o Maximum group size is six recipients
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst, BCaBA, or RBT
- Group adaptive behavior treatment with protocol modification – behavior analysis services provided in a group setting with modifications to the authorized treatment protocol to address behavior and/or response changes or progress
 - o Maximum group size is six recipients
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
- Family adaptive behavior treatment guidance – parent, guardian, and/or caregiver training on the implementation of the behavior plan and intervention strategies
 - o The recipient may or may not be present depending upon clinical appropriateness.
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
 - o The Lead Analyst may provide up to two hours per week of training to parents or guardians via telemedicine in accordance with Rule 59G-1.057, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)

...

4.2.4 Discharge

Recipients receiving Florida Medicaid BA services who meet one or more of the following will be considered for discharge from services:

- The recipient is no longer eligible for BA services as outlined in the Florida Medicaid Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, incorporated by reference in Rule 59G-4.125, F.A.C.
- The recipient no longer meets medical necessity criteria as defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.
- The recipient no longer engages in maladaptive behaviors.
- Data indicates the frequency and severity of maladaptive behavior(s) or level functional impairment no longer poses a barrier to the recipient's ability to function in his/her environment.
- The level of functional impairment as expressed through behaviors no longer justifies continued BA services.
- Parent or guardian withdraws consent for treatment

4.3 Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment

As required by federal law, Florida Medicaid provides services to eligible recipients under the age of 21 years, if such services are medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect, a condition, or a physical or mental illness. Included are

diagnostic services, treatment, equipment, supplies, and other measures described in sectioned 1905(a) of the Social Security Act, codified in Title 42 of the United States Code 1396d(a). As such, services for recipients under the age of 21 years exceeding the coverage described within this policy or the associated fee schedule may be approved, if medically necessary. For more information, please refer to Florida Medicaid's Authorization Requirements Policy.

...

5.0 Exclusion

5.1 General Non-Covered Criteria

Services related to this policy are not covered when any of the following apply:

- The service does not meet the medical necessity criteria listed in section 1.0
- The recipient does not meet the eligibility requirements listed in section 2.0
- The service unnecessarily duplicates another provider's service

5.2 Specific Non-Covered Criteria

Florida Medicaid does not cover the following as part of this service benefit:

- Any procedure or physical crisis management technique that involves the use of seclusion or manual, technical, or chemical restraint utilized to control behaviors
- Services for the delivery of recipient supervision, personal care assistance (e.g., acting as a 1:1 aid), companion, chaperone, or shadow regardless of activity or setting. This may include supports and services that are reimbursed through a different Florida Medicaid service benefit or are able to be provided by individuals without professional skills or training.
- Caregiver or childcare services
- Psychological testing, neuropsychology, psychotherapy, cognitive therapy, sex therapy, psychoanalysis, hypnotherapy, or long-term counseling
- Services funded under section 110 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
- Services not listed on the fee schedule
- Services on the same day as behavioral health overlay services*
- Services on the same day as therapeutic behavioral on-site services*
- Services on the same day as therapeutic group care services*
- Services provided simultaneously by more than one BA provider, unless determined to be medically necessary, prior authorized, and indicated in the approved behavior plan
- Travel Time

* These services include behavior analysis treatment.

Florida Medicaid may cover some services listed in this section through a different service benefit.

6.0 Documentation

6.2 Specific Criteria

Providers must maintain the following documentation in the recipient's file:

6.2.1 Referral Information

Original referral documentation must be maintained in the recipient's medical record.

6.2.2 Behavior Assessment and Behavior Plan

The behavior assessment and behavior plan must be signed by the Lead Analyst and the recipient's parent or guardian. Each behavior assessment and behavior plan must include:

- Patient information
- Reason for referral
- Medical and developmental history, including medications prescribed to ameliorate behaviors
- Relevant family history
- Clinical interview
- Review of recent assessments/reports (file review)
- Assessment procedures and results
- Behavior plan
 - o Treatment setting(s)
 - o Proposed treatment targets, goals, and objectives related to medically necessary behavioral interventions
 - o For each:
 - Definition in observable, measurable terms
 - Direct observation and measurement procedures
 - Current level (baseline)
 - Behavior reduction or acquisition procedures
 - Condition(s) under which behavior is to be demonstrated and mastery criteria
 - Date of introduction
 - Estimated date of mastery
 - Plan for generalization
 - Timely reporting of progress, including statements as to whether goal or objective is met; not met; or, modified (with explanation)
- Parent/guardian/caregiver training
 - o Proposed targets, goals, and objectives (as above)
 - o Training procedures
 - o Date of introduction
 - o Estimated date of mastery
- Number of units requested
 - o Number of units for each billing code
 - o Medical necessity for units requested
- Supervision plan, including name(s) of authorized supervisor(s)

- Care coordination with parents/caregivers, schools, state disability programs, and others as applicable
- Transition (fading) plan
- Crisis management plan
- Discharge plan

6.2.3 Assessment and Behavior Plan for Reauthorization and Continuation of Services

In addition to the documentation requirements indicated in 6.2.2, subsequent assessments and behavior plans for reauthorization and continuation of services must include:

- Data reflecting progress of all behaviors targeted for improvement. Each behavior under treatment must have its own data table and corresponding graph.
- A narrative discussion of progress and a statement of justification for continuation of care at the intensity level requested

If significant clinical progress is not made over the course of an authorized period, the provider must explain why clinically significant progress was not made and treatment changes to promote progress.

...

Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 at pages 43-44 (pages 4-8 of BA Policy).

22. The Florida Medicaid Authorization Requirements Policy (“Authorization Requirements Policy”) (June 2016), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.053, provides general requirements for providers to obtain authorization to render Florida Medicaid services.

The Authorization Requirements Policy states, in pertinent part:

3.0 Determination Process

3.1 Review Criteria

The QIO may use a national standardized set of criteria, or other set of criteria, approved by AHCA, as a guide for authorizations performed at the first review level. If services cannot be approved at the first level review, the QIO’s physician peer reviewer will determine medical necessity using his or her clinical judgment, acceptable standards of care, state and federal laws, and AHCA’s medical necessity definition.

3.2 Review Process

The QIO will review each authorization request and will approve, deny, or request additional information. The QIO may deny a portion of the requested units of service if it cannot substantiate medical necessity based upon the information submitted.

3.2.1 Continued Authorization Requests

The QIO shall not deny or reduce the amount, frequency, or duration of a service that is already being provided, unless:

- The reduction is to correct for factual errors or omissions in prior certifications.
- There is a documented improvement in the recipient's medical condition.
- There is a documented change in the recipient's circumstances.
- The reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.

Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2 at pages 33.

23. In this case, Respondent terminated Petitioner's BA services. The NOO and NRD explained that Petitioner's request for continuation of services did not meet medical necessity as the treatment plan was not "[c]onsistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational" and "[i]ndividualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs." *See supra* ¶ 5-6.

24. As provided in the BA policy and the EPSDT requirements, the recipient must meet the meet the medical necessity criteria as outlined in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010. A component of medical necessity is that services must be "consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards." As outlined above, Dr. Hurley provided credible and persuasive testimony that the Treatment Plan did not follow generally accepted standards of BA. *See supra* ¶ 9-10. Dr. Hurley's unrebutted testimony was that the Treatment Plan data graphs lack credibility due to errors in data collection. *See supra* ¶ 9-10, 11. These errors led to data graphs that are not consistent with data that are typically reported in behavior analysis treatment. Many of the graphs for maladaptive behavior and skill acquisition show the same general pattern, level, and trend in the data. *See supra* ¶ 4-5, 9-10. Also, the Treatment Plan is not individualized to

Petitioner because the provider did not modify the Treatment Plan to immediately address Petitioner’s lack of progress upon the data showing little to no progress in June 2023, through August 2023. *See supra* ¶ 4-5, 9-10. Thus, Respondent demonstrated that, based on the information in the record, the requested BA services in the Treatment Plan are not “consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards” and they are not “individualized and specific” to the Petitioner. Therefore, the critical element of medical necessity is not met and, as Dr. Hurley testified, the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services under the Treatment Plan at issue. *See supra* ¶ 10.

25. In this case, Petitioner’s provider recommended the continuation of BA services. However, the fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service. *See supra* ¶ 19.

26. Accordingly, Respondent met their burden of proof to show that the requested BA services no longer meet medical necessity criteria. Looking at all the evidence relevant to the particular needs of Petitioner, the BA services at issue in the Treatment Plan are not necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect or a physical and mental illness or condition.

27. Upon consideration of the testimony provided, Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 1, Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2, and the applicable law and policies, the undersigned finds that Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent’s termination of BA services was correct.

DECISION

Respondent's termination of Behavior Analysis services is **AFFIRMED**. Petitioner's appeal based on Respondent's termination of Behavior Analysis services is **DENIED**.

DONE and **ORDERED** this 1st day of April 2024, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.

Laura Gallagher
23-FH3052
2024.04.01 08:35:48
-04'00'

LAURA GALLAGHER, Hearing Officer
Agency for Health Care Administration
Office of Fair Hearings
2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11
Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407

NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

Copies Furnished To:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit
MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com