



STATE OF FLORIDA  
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION  
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

FILED

Mar 25, 2024, 12:57 pm

OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

[REDACTED]

PETITIONER,

AHCA Case No.: 23-FH3172

vs.

AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE  
ADMINISTRATION,

RESPONDENT.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**FINAL ORDER**

Pursuant to notice, the undersigned Hearing Officer convened a telephonic Fair Hearing on the instant case on February 13, 2024, at 9:15 a.m. EST. Due to a disruption in the recording equipment, the Fair Hearing was continued and re-convened on March 12, 2024, at 9:02 a.m.

**APPEARANCES**

For the Petitioner:

[REDACTED]

Petitioner's Authorized Representative

For the Respondent:

Diana Hearod (February 13, 2024)

Marialisa Amador (March 12, 2024)

Medical Health Care Program Analyst

Fair Hearing Liaison

Agency for Health Care Administration

**STATEMENT OF ISSUE**

The issue is whether Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's decision to terminate Petitioner's behavior analysis ("BA") services was correct.

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

All parties and witnesses appeared telephonically. Petitioner's Authorized Representative and [REDACTED], [REDACTED], ("[REDACTED]"), appeared on behalf of the Petitioner.

Diana Hearod, Medical Health Care Program Analyst and Fair Hearing Liaison for the Agency for Health Care Administration ("Agency" or "AHCA") appeared on behalf of Respondent. (February 13, 2024) Marielisa Amador, Medical Health Care Program Analyst and Fair Hearing Liaison for the Agency for Health Care Administration ("Agency" or "AHCA") appeared on behalf of Respondent. (March 12, 2024) Dr. Kathy Hurley, ("Dr. Hurley") Board Certified Behavior Analyst at the doctoral level (BCBA-D) and second level reviewer for eQHealth Solutions, Inc. ("eQHealth"), attended as a witness for Respondent.

Rafael #375864 appeared for translation services. (February 13, 2024)

Magali #266290 appeared for translation services. (March 12, 2024)

Petitioner did not file any documents as evidence prior to the Fair Hearing.

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a one hundred and thirty-three (133)-page evidence packet. The packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings' case management system as "[REDACTED] FH 02.13.2024 1-83.pdf," and "[REDACTED] FH 02.13.2024 84-133.pdf." Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the evidence packet into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1.

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a fifty (50)-page evidence packet. The packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings' case management system as "23-FH3172 B A AHCA Evidence 50 PGS (recipients name).pdf." Absent an objection

from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the evidence packet into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Petitioner receives Medicaid services on a fee-for-service basis from the Agency. eQHealth is a Quality Improvement Organization contracted by the Agency to review prior authorization requests for services. See Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2 at page 2.

2. Petitioner is [REDACTED] old. See Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1 at page 22. The Petitioner has been diagnosed with [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. The Petitioner has exhibited maladaptive behaviors including [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 69-70.

3. Petitioner requested the following BA services: 2,600 units of code 97153, 364 units of code 97155, and 52 units of code 97156 for the certification period of November 28, 2023, through May 25, 2024. *Id.* at 29.

4. On December 5, 2023, Respondent issued a Notice of Outcome ("NOO"), denying Petitioner's BA services. *Id.* at 29-30. The NOO explained the basis for the termination as follows:

[T]he requested services are not medically necessary under the following standard(s):

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs.

...

The NOO further provided:

Submitted information does not support the medical necessity for requested frequency and/or duration.

PR Clinical Rationale – Denial: According to the Florida Medicaid State Plan (page 8, 6.2.3), the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. The recommendations for procedural modifications include: additions/changes to treatment plan to impact behaviors targeted for reduction. Procedural modifications should include one or more of the following: antecedent manipulation modifications, modifications of prompting procedures used in acquisition, modifications in consequence-based strategies—ones that either reduce maladaptive behavior or reinforce replacement behavior (e.g., manipulation of reinforcement schedules, switch to a different decelerative procedure), or if lack of progress was due to therapist error (e.g., poor data collection or poor training on intervention methods), how you will address human error. The provider has not addressed the lack of progress during the last observation period and did not amend the treatment plan in relation to the lack of progress or the proposed changes have little chance at improving behavior. The information submitted does not meet standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. This request is denied.

*Id.* at 29-30.

5. Petitioner requested reconsideration of the Respondent’s decision. On December 19, 2023, Respondent issued a Notice of Reconsideration Determination (“NRD”) upholding its decision. *Id.* at 41-42. The NRD states, in pertinent part as follows:

Specifically, the services must be:

Consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational.  
Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.

...

PR Recon Determination: At reconsideration all documents were carefully reviewed. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] are not an empirically supported procedures within the conceptual system of behavior analysis for treating the functions of maladaptive behavior. According to Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (page 2, 1.1), treatment that does not meet generally accepted standards of care

within the field of applied behavior analysis are not covered under the behavior analysis service coverage policy. According to the Florida Medicaid State Plan (page 8, 6.2.3), the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. The recommendations for procedural modifications include: additions/changes to treatment plan to impact behaviors targeted for reduction. Procedural modifications should include one or more of the following: antecedent manipulation modifications, modifications of prompting procedures used in acquisition, modifications in consequence-based strategies—ones that either reduce maladaptive behavior or reinforce replacement behavior (e.g., manipulation of reinforcement schedules, switch to a different decelerative procedure), or if lack of progress was due to therapist error (e.g., poor data collection or poor training on intervention methods), how you will address human error. The provider has not addressed the lack of progress during the last observation period and did not amend the treatment plan in relation to the lack of progress or the proposed changes have little chance at improving behavior. The information submitted does not meet standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. This denial is upheld.

*Id.* at 41-42.

6. Dr. Hurley established that eQHealth reviews behavior analysis cases to ensure that providers are giving quality care consistent with the standards enumerated in the BA Policy as well as professional medical standards of applied behavior analysis (“ABA”). eQHealth reviewed the Treatment Plan submitted in this case to determine whether all five (5) conditions of medical necessity are met. Dr. Hurley asserted that Petitioner’s services were denied because the treatment plan is not consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational and therefore does not meet the conditions of being medically necessary.

7. Dr. Hurley reviewed the historical background of this provider and this recipient. The provider has been providing services to the recipient since [REDACTED]. Dr. Hurley explained the review process that is followed by eQhealth. In this review, Dr. Hurley testified that the 1<sup>st</sup> level reviewer found that the recipient had other diagnosed illnesses, but the ABA services were

directed at the diagnosis of [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 24. Further, considering the documentation submitted, the request for services was not individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs. *Id.* The request was sent to a second level review. Dr. Hurley testified that the second reviewer wrote that the data must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. *Id.* A request was made for reconsideration and a third reviewer reconsidered the previous denial. In addition to confirming the previous denial the third reviewer also found that the treatment plan contained [REDACTED] [REDACTED] that are not an empirically supported procedures within the conceptual system of behavior analysis for treating the functions of maladaptive behavior. The prior denial was upheld. *Id.* at 24.

8. Dr. Hurley established that an effective treatment plan is built around maladaptive behaviors (which decrease in frequency) and skills to be acquired (which increase in frequency) over the course of treatment. Dr. Hurley testified that this provider has failed to establish a Treatment Plan that will accomplish the purpose of ABA and address the lack of progress with this recipient.

9. Dr. Hurley reviewed graphs involving maladaptive behavior. The first graph is for [REDACTED]. This graph starts with a base line at [REDACTED] per week. Beginning with June 3, 2023, the graph shows a data point at [REDACTED]. Moving to October 28, 2023, the graph shows a data point at [REDACTED]. Dr. Hurley summarized this graph as showing a very minimal reduction in the maladaptive behavior. Furthermore, Dr. Hurley testified that there were

no interventions or modifications shown on the graph. There is a general rule in ABA that after three data points with no improvement there should be an intervention / modification of the Treatment Plan. Based on this graph, after three data points without improvement there should have been an intervention to address the maladaptive behavior. *Id.* at 71. The next graph is for [REDACTED]. This graph is very similar to the previous graph. The data points start [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] and at the end of the graph the data point is at about [REDACTED]. Thus, from reading this graph, no progress was made for this maladaptive behavior. Also, this graph contains no interventions after three data points with no progress. *Id.* at 72. The next graph is for [REDACTED]. This graph depicts no progress for this maladaptive behavior. This graph, as well as the previous graphs, do not show any consistency of improvement in the maladaptive behaviors. Also, there are no indications on the graph of interventions to address the lack of progress. *Id.* at 72. At this point, Dr. Hurley commented that the data line of each of the above graphs is almost identical. Dr. Hurley stated that these graphs bring into question the accuracy of the data collection and reporting. The next graph for review is [REDACTED]. As with the previous graphs, this graph depicts no consistent improvement in the maladaptive behavior and no intervention. *Id.* at 73. Dr. Hurley reviewed and compared the two graphs for [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. These graphs have almost identical data lines. While the graphs do not show progress in reducing the maladaptive behaviors or any interventions, these graphs do call into question the accuracy of the data collection and the recording of the data points. *Id.* at 74. Dr. Hurley testified that for ABA services to be effective, the data must be accurate and reliable.

10. Dr. Hurley also reviewed the Treatment Plan concerning replacement behaviors. Dr. Hurley first commented that every graph for replacement behaviors has virtually the same data path. It can be seen in the [REDACTED], *Id.* at 78, [REDACTED], *Id.* at 79, [REDACTED], *Id.* at 79, and [REDACTED] that follows. Again Dr. Hurley questioned the accuracy of the data collection and reporting for these graphs. Furthermore, the graphs fail to demonstrate any meaningful progress for this recipient and the replacement behaviors. Dr. Hurley testified that any future Treatment Plan by this provider would need to address the accuracy of the data collection.

11. [REDACTED] testified that the recipient does have [REDACTED]. Two years ago, the family moved, and the Petitioner had to change schools and lost some of [REDACTED] friends. The Petitioner does not accept change very well. The first RBT and the Petitioner did not match very well and a new RBT took over the case. The Petitioner is starting to mature and is experiencing changes as a [REDACTED]. Recently, one of the school counselors has been helping the Petitioner with [REDACTED] schoolwork as the Petitioner takes longer to finish projects and goals. Recently the doctor changed the [REDACTED] medication and [REDACTED] has seen some improvement in the Petitioner. [REDACTED] believes the Petitioner needs to receive professional help.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

12. The Agency's Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and the parties pursuant to section 409.285(2), Florida Statutes (2019). This order is the final administrative decision of AHCA under section 409.285(2)(a).

13. This hearing was held as a *de novo* proceeding pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(b).

14. Because Respondent terminated a previously approved service, Fla. Admin. Code R. 59-1.100(17)(g) assigns the burden of proof to the Respondent. The standard of proof in an administrative hearing is a preponderance of the evidence. The preponderance of the evidence standard requires proof by “the greater weight of the evidence” (Black’s Law Dictionary at 1201, 7th Ed.).

15. States must provide Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (“EPSDT”) services to Medicaid-eligible children under age 21 when requested under the Medicaid state plan. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43); 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(a)(4).

16. According to 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(r)(5), EPSDT services mean, in relevant part, the following items and services:

Such other necessary health care, diagnostic services, treatment, and other measures described in subsection (a) of this section to correct or ameliorate defects and physical and mental illness and conditions discovered by the screen services, whether or not such services are covered under the state plan.

17. A state may place medical necessity limitations on EPSDT services. *See* 42 C.F.R. §§ 440.230(a), (b), (d).

18. Section 409.905(2), Florida Statutes, limits EPSDT services with a medical necessity standard:

The [Agency] shall pay for early and periodic screening and diagnosis of a recipient under age 21 to ascertain physical and mental problems and conditions and all services determined by the agency to be medically necessary for the treatment, correction, or amelioration of these problems and conditions, including personal care, private duty nursing, durable medical equipment, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, respiratory therapy, and immunizations.

19. The Florida Medicaid Definitions Policy, incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010, defines “Medically Necessary” or “Medical Necessity” as follows:

The medical or allied care, goods, or services furnished or ordered must meet the following conditions:

- Be necessary to protect life, to prevent significant illness or significant disability, or to alleviate pain
- Be individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs
- Be consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational
- Be reflective of the level of service that can be safely furnished, and for which no equally effective and more conservative or less costly treatment is available statewide
- Be furnished in a manner not primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient, the recipient’s caretaker, or the provider

The fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service.

Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 at page 23

20. The Florida Medicaid Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (September 2023) (“BA Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code. R. 59G-4.125, governs BA services available under Florida Medicaid. The BA Policy provides as follows:

**1.0 Introduction**

Behavior analysis (BA) services are highly structured interventions, strategies, and approaches provided to decrease maladaptive behaviors and increase or reinforce appropriate behaviors.

...

**1.4.6 Medically Necessary/Medical Necessity**

As defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.

...

**2.0 Eligible Recipient**

...

**2.2 Who Can Receive**

Florida Medicaid recipients under the age of 21 years requiring BA services that are medically necessary to address behavior that impairs a recipient’s ability to perform a major life activity. Such functional impairment is expressed through the following behaviors:

- Safety – aggression, self-injury, property destruction, elopement
- Communication – problems with expressive/receptive language, poor understanding or use of non-verbal communications, stereotyped, repetitive language
- Self-stimulating – abnormal, inflexible, or intense preoccupations
- Self-care – difficulty recognizing risks or danger, grooming, eating, or toileting
- Other behaviors not identified above but not limited to complexity of treatment, programming, or environmental variables

The recipient must be referred by an independent physician or practitioner qualified to assess and diagnose disorders related to functional impairment, including:

- Primary care physician with family practice, internal medicine, or pediatric specialty
- Board certified or board eligible physician with specialty in developmental behavioral pediatrics, neurodevelopmental pediatrics, pediatric neurology, adult or child psychiatry
- Child psychologist

The referral must include a comprehensive diagnostic evaluation (CDE) performed according to national evidence-based practice standards. CDEs may be performed by a multidisciplinary team or individual practitioner. In either case, the CDE must be led by a licensed practitioner working within their scope of practice. The CDE must include assessment findings and treatment recommendations appropriate to the recipient. For example, the CDE may include data from behavioral reports by parents, guardians, and/or teachers; diagnostic testing related to recipients' development, behavior, hearing, and/or vision; genetic testing; and/or other neurological and/or medical testing.

Some services may be subject to additional coverage criteria as specified in section 4.0.

...

#### **4.0 Coverage Information**

##### **4.1 General Criteria**

Florida Medicaid covers services that meet all of the following:

- Are determined medically necessary
- Do not duplicate another service
- Meet the criteria as specified in this policy

##### **4.2 Specific Criteria**

Florida Medicaid covers the following BA services in accordance with the applicable Florida Medicaid fee schedule(s), or as specified in this policy:

###### **4.2.1 Behavior Assessment and Behavior Plan**

A behavior assessment must be conducted prior to the initiation of behavior analysis interventions. The assessment must identify behavioral deficits that interfere with a major life activity including the events and subsequent interactions that elicit and sustain targeted behavior.

The initial assessment must include the administration, scoring, and reporting of two core standardized behavior instruments, as follows:

- Vineland-3 Comprehensive Parent Interview Form Including Maladaptive Behavior Domain, for all recipients
- Behavior Assessment System for Children, Third Edition, Parenting Relationship Questionnaire (BASC-3 PRQ), for all recipients 2 years old and less than 19 years old

The complete scoring report, including outcome measure scores, must be submitted with service prior authorization requests. Additional assessment tools may be used at the Lead Analyst's discretion.

The behavior plan identifies intervention strategies that are likely to eliminate, mitigate or replace the behavior to produce change sufficient to reengage the recipient in the major life activity. The plan must include specific behavior goal(s), intervention strategies for each goal, anticipated timeframes that are of sufficient duration to address the targeted behavior, and how the ongoing progress of intervention strategies will be reported.

The behavior plan must reflect the requested authorization period (up to six months).

A reassessment and updated behavior plan to renew prior authorization for continued services must be completed at least every six months. The core instruments must be included with reassessments every 12 months.

More frequent assessments must be conducted when:

- New behavior emerges that interferes with a recipient's participation in a major life activity
- Additional BA services are medically necessary and are likely to address the emergent behavior

A full reassessment may be requested if there is a change in provider; however, a change of a practitioner status (e.g., an RBT becoming certified as a BCaBA) is not grounds for conducting a reassessment or updating a behavior plan.

#### **4.2.2 Behavior Analysis Interventions**

Florida Medicaid covers up to 40 hours per week of BA intervention services as indicated in the recipient's prior-authorized behavior plan. These services much

be delivered to reduce maladaptive behaviors and assist the recipient reach the best possible function level for that individual. Services include:

- Adaptive behavior treatment by protocol - behavior analysis services provided according to the authorized treatment protocol
  - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst, BCaBA, or RBT
- Adaptive behavior treatment with protocol modification – behavior analysis services provided with modifications to the authorized treatment protocol to address behavior and/or response changes or progress
  - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
- Group adaptive behavior treatment by protocol – behavior analysis services provided in a group setting according to the authorized treatment protocol
  - o Maximum group size is six recipients
  - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst, BCaBA, or RBT
- Group adaptive behavior treatment with protocol modification – behavior analysis services provided in a group setting with modifications to the authorized treatment protocol to address behavior and/or response changes or progress
  - o Maximum group size is six recipients
  - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
- Family adaptive behavior treatment guidance – parent, guardian, and/or caregiver training on the implementation of the behavior plan and intervention strategies
  - o The recipient may or may not be present depending upon clinical appropriateness.
  - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
  - o The Lead Analyst may provide up to two hours per week of training to parents or guardians via telemedicine in accordance with Rule 59G-1.057, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)

...

#### **4.2.4 Discharge**

Recipients receiving Florida Medicaid BA services who meet one or more of the following will be considered for discharge from services:

- The recipient is no longer eligible for BA services as outlined in the Florida Medicaid Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, incorporated by reference in Rule 59G-4.125, F.A.C.
- The recipient no longer meets medical necessity criteria as defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.
- The recipient no longer engages in maladaptive behaviors.
- Data indicates the frequency and severity of maladaptive behavior(s) or level functional impairment no longer poses a barrier to the recipient's ability to function in his/her environment.
- The level of functional impairment as expressed through behaviors no longer justifies continued BA services.

- Parent or guardian withdraws consent for treatment

#### **4.3 Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment**

As required by federal law, Florida Medicaid provides services to eligible recipients under the age of 21 years, if such services are medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect, a condition, or a physical or mental illness. Included are diagnostic services, treatment, equipment, supplies, and other measures described in sectioned 1905(a) of the Social Security Act, codified in Title 42 of the United States Code 1396d(a). As such, services for recipients under the age of 21 years exceeding the coverage described within this policy or the associated fee schedule may be approved, if medically necessary. For more information, please refer to Florida Medicaid's Authorization Requirements Policy.

...

#### **5.0 Exclusion**

##### **5.1 General Non-Covered Criteria**

Services related to this policy are not covered when any of the following apply:

- The service does not meet the medical necessity criteria listed in section 1.0
- The recipient does not meet the eligibility requirements listed in section 2.0
- The service unnecessarily duplicates another provider's service

##### **5.2 Specific Non-Covered Criteria**

Florida Medicaid does not cover the following as part of this service benefit:

- Any procedure or physical crisis management technique that involves the use of seclusion or manual, technical, or chemical restraint utilized to control behaviors
- Services for the delivery of recipient supervision, personal care assistance (e.g., acting as a 1:1 aid), companion, chaperone, or shadow regardless of activity or setting. This may include supports and services that are reimbursed through a different Florida Medicaid service benefit or are able to be provided by individuals without professional skills or training.
- Caregiver or childcare services
- Psychological testing, neuropsychology, psychotherapy, cognitive therapy, sex therapy, psychoanalysis, hypnotherapy, or long-term counseling
- Services funded under section 110 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
- Services not listed on the fee schedule
- Services on the same day as behavioral health overlay services\*
- Services on the same day as therapeutic behavioral on-site services\*
- Services on the same day as therapeutic group care services\*
- Services provided simultaneously by more than one BA provider, unless determined to be medically necessary, prior authorized, and indicated in the approved behavior plan
- Travel Time

\* These services include behavior analysis treatment.

Florida Medicaid may cover some services listed in this section through a different service benefit.

## **6.0 Documentation**

### **6.2 Specific Criteria**

Providers must maintain the following documentation in the recipient's file:

#### **6.2.1 Referral Information**

Original referral documentation must be maintained in the recipient's medical record.

#### **6.2.2 Behavior Assessment and Behavior Plan**

The behavior assessment and behavior plan must be signed by the Lead Analyst and the recipient's parent or guardian. Each behavior assessment and behavior plan must include:

- Patient information
- Reason for referral
- Medical and developmental history, including medications prescribed to ameliorate behaviors
- Relevant family history
- Clinical interview
- Review of recent assessments/reports (file review)
- Assessment procedures and results
- Behavior plan
  - o Treatment setting(s)
  - o Proposed treatment targets, goals, and objectives related to medically necessary behavioral interventions
  - o For each:
    - Definition in observable, measurable terms
    - Direct observation and measurement procedures
    - Current level (baseline)
    - Behavior reduction or acquisition procedures
    - Condition(s) under which behavior is to be demonstrated and mastery criteria
    - Date of introduction
    - Estimated date of mastery
    - Plan for generalization
    - Timely reporting of progress, including statements as to whether goal or objective is met; not met; or, modified (with explanation)
- Parent/guardian/caregiver training
  - o Proposed targets, goals, and objectives (as above)
  - o Training procedures
  - o Date of introduction
  - o Estimated date of mastery

- Number of units requested
  - o Number of units for each billing code
  - o Medical necessity for units requested
- Supervision plan, including name(s) of authorized supervisor(s)
- Care coordination with parents/caregivers, schools, state disability programs, and others as applicable
- Transition (fading) plan
- Crisis management plan
- Discharge plan

**6.2.3 Assessment and Behavior Plan for Reauthorization and Continuation of Services**

In addition to the documentation requirements indicated in 6.2.2, subsequent assessments and behavior plans for reauthorization and continuation of services must include:

- Data reflecting progress of all behaviors targeted for improvement. Each behavior under treatment must have its own data table and corresponding graph.
- A narrative discussion of progress and a statement of justification for continuation of care at the intensity level requested

If significant clinical progress is not made over the course of an authorized period, the provider must explain why clinically significant progress was not made and treatment changes to promote progress.

...

Pages 1 – 8 of BA Policy.

21. The Florida Medicaid Authorization Requirements Policy (“Authorization Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.053, provides as follows:

**3.2.1 Continued Authorization Request**

The QIO shall not deny or reduce the amount, frequency, or duration of a service that is already being provided, unless:

- The reduction is to correct for factual error or omissions in prior certifications.
- There is a documented improvement in the recipient’s medical condition.
- There is a documented change in the recipient’s circumstances.
- The reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.

...

Page 3 of Authorization Policy.

22. In this case, Respondent denied Petitioner's BA services. The NOO and NRD explained that Petitioner's request for services did not meet medical necessity as the treatment plan was not "[c]onsistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational" and not "Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs." *See supra* ¶ 4-5.

23. As provided in the BA policy and the EPSDT requirements, the recipient must meet the medical necessity criteria as outlined in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010. A component of medical necessity is that services must be "consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards." As outlined above, Dr. Hurley provided credible and persuasive testimony identifying several instances where the revised Treatment Plan did not follow generally accepted standards of ABA. For example, the documentation provided for review did not show any decrease in maladaptive behaviors or increase in replacement behaviors and the provider failed to make necessary interventions or modifications to the treatment plan in order to effectively reduce maladaptive behaviors and increase replacement behaviors. *See supra* ¶ 7, 9, 10. Thus, Respondent demonstrated that, based on the information in the record, the requested BA services are not "consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards." Because the services are not consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards, the critical element of medical necessity is not met. *See supra* ¶ 6.

24. In this case, Petitioner's provider recommended the continuation of BA services. However, the fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied

care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service. See supra ¶ 19.

25. Accordingly, Respondent has established by a preponderance of the evidence that the requested BA services are not medically necessary. Looking at all the evidence relevant to the particular needs of Petitioner, the BA services at issue are not necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect or a physical and mental illness or condition.

26. Upon consideration of the testimony provided, Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1, Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2, and the applicable law and policies, the undersigned finds that Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's denial of BA services was correct.

**DECISION**

Respondent's denial of Behavior Analysis services is **AFFIRMED**. Petitioner's appeal based on Respondent's denial of Behavior Analysis services is **DENIED**.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** this 25th day of March 2024, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.



George L.  
Winslow, Jr.

23-FH3172

2024.03.25

09:51:04 -04'00'

---

**GEORGE WINSLOW, Hearing Officer**  
**Agency for Health Care Administration**  
**Office of Fair Hearings**  
**2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407**

**NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW**

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

**Copies Furnished To:**

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit**  
**MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com**