



STATE OF FLORIDA
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

FILED

Jul 29, 2024, 2:13 pm

[REDACTED]

PETITIONER,

AHCA Case No.: 24-FH1473

OFFICE OF FAIR HEARINGS

vs.

AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE
ADMINISTRATION,

RESPONDENT.

_____ /

FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to notice, the undersigned Hearing Officer convened a telephonic Fair Hearing on the instant case on July 18, 2024, at 9:06 a.m. EST.

APPEARANCES

For the Petitioner:

[REDACTED]

Petitioner's Authorized Representative

For the Respondent:

Lee Ann Williams
Medical Health Care Provider Analyst
Fair Hearing Liaison
Agency for Health Care Administration

STATEMENT OF ISSUE

The issue is whether Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's decision to terminate Petitioner's behavior analysis ("BA") services was correct.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

All parties and witnesses appeared telephonically. Petitioner's Authorized Representative and [REDACTED], [REDACTED], (" [REDACTED]"), appeared on behalf of the Petitioner. [REDACTED], BCBA for the recipient testified on behalf of the Petitioner.

Lee Ann Williams, Medical Health Care Provider Analyst and Fair Hearing Liaison for the Agency for Health Care Administration ("Agency" or "AHCA") appeared on behalf of Respondent. Dr. Joseph Darling, ("Dr. Darling") Board Certified Behavior Analyst at the doctoral level (BCBA-D) and second level reviewer for eQHealth Solutions, Inc. ("eQHealth"), attended as a witness for Respondent.

Petitioner did not introduce any documents as evidence for the Fair Hearing.

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a five hundred and thirty-eight (538)-page evidence packet. The packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings' case management system as "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 1-93.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 94-145.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 146-200.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 201-252.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 253-299.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 300-352.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 353-401.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 402-452.pdf," "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 453-503.pdf," and "[REDACTED] FH 07.18.2024 504-538.pdf." Absent an objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the evidence packet into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1.

Prior to the hearing, Respondent sent to the Office of Fair Hearings and Petitioner a forty-nine (49)-page evidence packet. The packet appears in the Office of Fair Hearings' case management system as "24-FH1473 Agency Evidence Legal Authority 49 pages.pdf." Absent an

objection from the Petitioner, the undersigned admitted the evidence packet into evidence as Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner receives Medicaid services on a fee-for-service basis from the Agency. eQHealth is a Quality Improvement Organization contracted by the Agency to review prior authorization requests for services. See Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2 at page 2.

2. Petitioner is [REDACTED]. See Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1 at page 22. The Petitioner has been diagnosed with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] *Id.* at 26. The Petitioner has exhibited maladaptive behaviors including [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 388-389.

3. Petitioner requested the following BA services: 2,600 units of code 97153, 208 units of code 97155, and 208 units of code 97156 for the certification period of May 6, 2024, through November 1, 2024. *Id.* at 31.

4. On April 11, 2024, Respondent issued a Notice of Outcome ("NOO"), terminating Petitioner's BA services. *Id.* at 31-32. The NOO explained the basis for the termination as follows:

[T]he requested services are not medically necessary under the following standard(s):

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs.

...

The NOO further provided:

Submitted information does not support the medical necessity for requested frequency and/or duration.

PR Clinical Rationale – Denial: According to the Florida Medicaid State Plan (page 8, 6.2.3), the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. The recommendations for procedural modifications include: additions/changes to treatment plan to impact behaviors targeted for reduction. Procedural modifications should include one or more of the following: antecedent manipulation modifications, modifications of prompting procedures used in acquisition, modifications in consequence-based strategies—ones that either reduce maladaptive behavior or reinforce replacement behavior (e.g., manipulation of reinforcement schedules, switch to a different decelerative procedure), or if lack of progress was due to therapist error (e.g., poor data collection or poor training on intervention methods), how you will address human error. The provider has not addressed the lack of progress during the last observation period and did not amend the treatment plan in relation to the lack of progress or the proposed changes have little chance at improving behavior. The information submitted does not meet standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. This request is denied.

Id. at 31-32.

5. Petitioner requested reconsideration of the Respondent’s decision. On May 8, 2024, Respondent issued a Notice of Reconsideration Determination (“NRD”) upholding its decision. *Id.* at 42-43. The NRD states, in pertinent part as follows:

Specifically, the services must be:

Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs.
Consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational.

...

PR Recon Determination: At reconsideration all documents were carefully reviewed. [REDACTED] are not empirically supported procedures within the conceptual system of behavior analysis for treating the functions of maladaptive behavior. According to Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (page 2 1.1), treatment that does not meet generally accepted standards of care within the field of applied behavior analysis are not covered under the behavior analysis service coverage policy.

Provider, the treatment plan is not individualized to this recipient. The recipient is [REDACTED] as a modification/intervention. According to the Florida Medicaid State Plan (page 8, 6.2.3), the data provided must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. The recommendations for procedural modifications include: additions/changes to treatment plan to impact behaviors targeted for reduction. Procedural modifications should include one or more of the following: antecedent manipulation modifications, modifications of prompting procedures used in acquisition, modifications in consequence-based strategies—ones that either reduce maladaptive behavior or reinforce replacement behavior (e.g., manipulation of reinforcement schedules, switch to a different decelerative procedure), or if lack of progress was due to therapist error (e.g., poor data collection or poor training on intervention methods), how you will address human error. The provider has not addressed the lack of progress during the last observation period and did not amend the treatment plan in relation to the lack of progress or the proposed changes have little chance at improving behavior. The information submitted does not meet standards of care within the field of behavior analysis. This denial is upheld.

Id. at 42-43.

6. Dr. Darling established that eQHealth reviews behavior analysis cases to ensure that providers are giving quality care consistent with the standards enumerated in the BA Policy as well as professional medical standards of applied behavior analysis (“ABA”). eQHealth reviewed the Treatment Plan submitted in this case to determine whether all five (5) conditions of medical necessity are met. Dr. Darling asserted that Petitioner’s services were denied because the treatment plan is not individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness un treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs and not consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational and therefore does not meet the conditions of being medically necessary. Dr. Darling testified that the treatment plan and services have not met the conditions of medical necessity.

7. Dr. Darling explained the review process that is followed by eQhealth. In this review, Dr. Darling testified that the 1st level reviewer found that the recipient had been diagnosed with ADHD and ADD and the provider was requesting 29 hours per week of services. *Id.* at 26. Further, considering the documentation submitted, the request for services was not individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs. *Id.* The first reviewer found that the definition, procedures, and /or goals are not supported by ABA policy. *Id.* The request was sent to a second level review. The second level reviewer is a Board-Certified Behavior Analyst at the doctoral level (BCBA-D). Dr. Darling testified that the second reviewer wrote that the data must show evidence that the frequency of the maladaptive behavior(s) has decreased since the last review and, if not, that there is a modification of the behavior plan. *Id.* The second level reviewer denied the request for behavior analysis services based upon the documentation submitted. *Id.* A request was made for reconsideration and a third reviewer reconsidered the previous denial. The third reviewer is also a Board-Certified Behavior Analyst at the doctoral level (BCBA-D). In addition to confirming the previous denial the third reviewer also found that the treatment plan contained calming/coping/relaxation strategies and other such alternatives that are not within the conceptual system of ABA. *Id.* at 27. The prior denial was upheld. *Id.* at 27.

8. Dr. Darling established that an effective treatment plan is built around maladaptive behaviors (which decrease in frequency) and skills to be acquired (which increase in frequency) over the course of treatment. Dr. Darling testified that this provider has failed to establish a Treatment Plan that will accomplish the purpose of ABA and provide this recipient with effective ABA treatment.

9. Dr. Darling reviewed the last treatment plan submitted for review. The treatment plan found on page 383 of RCE 1 was received on April 16, 2024. Dr. Darling reviewed the paragraph [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Dr. Darling then reviewed the section of the treatment plan on page 403 of RCE 1 entitled “[REDACTED]

[REDACTED].” This section of the treatment plan was requested to be removed as the child was already verbal, however the provider did not remove the section. Next Dr. Darling reviewed the treatment plan graphs for maladaptive behaviors. There are eight listed maladaptive behaviors, and all behaviors are shown on a single graph. *Id.* at 395. Dr. Darling testified as the graph is reviewed from left to right, there is very little change in the behaviors throughout the treatment authorization period nor are there any modifications or interventions by the behavior analyst. *Id.* at 395. This graph demonstrates that very little treatment was being offered during this authorization period. The next section of the treatment plan reviewed by Dr. Darling is the replacement behaviors/skill acquisition graph. *Id.* at 406. As with the maladaptive behaviors, the replacement behaviors/skill acquisition goals are all listed on a single graph. The first concern with the graph is that it measures improvement in percentages, however, the graph only goes to [REDACTED]. The goal should be to reach 100%. This graph shows that no replacement behavior/skill acquisition even reaches [REDACTED]. *Id.* at 406. Dr. Darling summarized this graph as showing a very little improvement in the replacement behavior/skill acquisition during the authorization period. Furthermore, Dr. Darling testified that there were no interventions or modifications shown on the graph. *Id.* at 406. Dr. Darling testified that if [REDACTED] were to use this graph to project out this

child's improvement, it may be another [REDACTED] before the child has mastered any of these goals. Dr. Darling also reviewed the treatment plan at page 401 of RCE 1 which is entitled "[REDACTED] [REDACTED]." *Id.* at 401. In this section, the use of "[REDACTED]" is outlined as part of the plan's intervention. As previously stated by Dr. Darling, use of [REDACTED] is not within the conceptual system of ABA.

10. In summary, Dr. Darling testified that the treatment plan showed a lack of progress in reducing the maladaptive behaviors after a period of six months nor had the replacement behaviors/skill acquisition increased during the same six months. In addition, the treatment plan included a highly inappropriate [REDACTED] for a recipient that was verbal. Further, the treatment plan included [REDACTED] which is not within the conceptual system of ABA treatment. Dr. Darling found the treatment plan to be ineffective and did not address the actual needs of the recipient and therefore did not meet the requirements of being medically necessary.

11. [REDACTED], the Petitioner's BCBA, testified on behalf of the Petitioner. [REDACTED] stated that there was a newly revised treatment plan done on April 23, 2024, and that the reviewers may not have received that revised plan. [REDACTED] testified that the Petitioner was making progress under the treatment plan. As an example, [REDACTED] testified that for the behavior of [REDACTED] from October of 2023 to April of 2024, the Petitioner made a [REDACTED] improvement. [REDACTED] also testified that the Petitioner had undergone several environmental changes in [REDACTED] life. At school, the Petitioner changed classrooms and began with a new teacher, 9 days of treatment were missed during Thanksgiving break and two weeks during the winter break, the school determined that the Petitioner would be held back in [REDACTED] grade,

Petitioners [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] decided to separate from their relationship and Petitioner moved to a different home where [REDACTED] shares bedroom space with other family members. Petitioner has experienced difficulty completing school assignments. [REDACTED] stated that the [REDACTED] seemed to be working, but in the April 23, 2024 revised plan [REDACTED] had removed the [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED], however that revised plan does not appear to have been available to the reviewers. [REDACTED] outlined improvements that [REDACTED] had seen in the Petitioners maladaptive behaviors.

12. [REDACTED] testified on behalf of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that at this time [REDACTED] is suffering from the changes that have occurred recently. [REDACTED] has taken [REDACTED] to several medical doctors. The changes in [REDACTED]'s environment have had an impact on [REDACTED]. The recent separation from [REDACTED] and moving to a new living arrangement was hard. While [REDACTED] was receiving therapy [REDACTED] was showing improvement. When the therapy stopped, it seemed that [REDACTED] was going backwards. [REDACTED] testified that [REDACTED] needs the therapy now.

13. Dr. Darling testified on rebuttal that the revised treatment of April 23, 2024, was not submitted and therefore it would not be part of the review process conducted for this recipient.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

14. The Agency's Office of Fair Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and the parties pursuant to section 409.285(2), Florida Statutes (2019). This order is the final administrative decision of AHCA under section 409.285(2)(a).

15. This hearing was held as a *de novo* proceeding pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.100(17)(b).

16. Because Respondent terminated a previously approved service, Fla. Admin. Code R. 59-1.100(17)(g) assigns the burden of proof to the Respondent. The standard of proof in an administrative hearing is a preponderance of the evidence. The preponderance of the evidence standard requires proof by “the greater weight of the evidence” (Black’s Law Dictionary at 1201, 7th Ed.).

17. States must provide Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (“EPSDT”) services to Medicaid-eligible children under age 21 when requested under the Medicaid state plan. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43); 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(a)(4).

18. According to 42 U.S.C. § 1396d(r)(5), EPSDT services mean, in relevant part, the following items and services:

Such other necessary health care, diagnostic services, treatment, and other measures described in subsection (a) of this section to correct or ameliorate defects and physical and mental illness and conditions discovered by the screen services, whether or not such services are covered under the state plan.

19. A state may place medical necessity limitations on EPSDT services. *See* 42 C.F.R. §§ 440.230(a), (b), (d).

20. Section 409.905(2), Florida Statutes, limits EPSDT services with a medical necessity standard:

The [Agency] shall pay for early and periodic screening and diagnosis of a recipient under age 21 to ascertain physical and mental problems and conditions and all services determined by the agency to be medically necessary for the treatment, correction, or amelioration of these problems and conditions, including personal care, private duty nursing, durable medical equipment, physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, respiratory therapy, and immunizations.

21. The Florida Medicaid Definitions Policy, incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010, defines “Medically Necessary” or “Medical Necessity” as follows:

The medical or allied care, goods, or services furnished or ordered must meet the following conditions:

- Be necessary to protect life, to prevent significant illness or significant disability, or to alleviate pain
- Be individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness or injury under treatment, and not in excess of the patient’s needs
- Be consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational
- Be reflective of the level of service that can be safely furnished, and for which no equally effective and more conservative or less costly treatment is available statewide
- Be furnished in a manner not primarily intended for the convenience of the recipient, the recipient’s caretaker, or the provider

The fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service.

Respondent’s Composite Exhibit 2 at page 23

22. The Florida Medicaid Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy (September 2023) (“BA Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code. R. 59G-4.125, governs BA services available under Florida Medicaid. The BA Policy provides as follows:

1.0 Introduction

Behavior analysis (BA) services are highly structured interventions, strategies, and approaches provided to decrease maladaptive behaviors and increase or reinforce appropriate behaviors.

...

1.4.6 Medically Necessary/Medical Necessity

As defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.

...

2.0 Eligible Recipient

...

2.2 Who Can Receive

Florida Medicaid recipients under the age of 21 years requiring BA services that are medically necessary to address behavior that impairs a recipient’s ability to perform a major life activity. Such functional impairment is expressed through the following behaviors:

- Safety – aggression, self-injury, property destruction, elopement
- Communication – problems with expressive/receptive language, poor understanding or use of non-verbal communications, stereotyped, repetitive language
- Self-stimulating – abnormal, inflexible, or intense preoccupations
- Self-care – difficulty recognizing risks or danger, grooming, eating, or toileting
- Other behaviors not identified above but not limited to complexity of treatment, programming, or environmental variables

The recipient must be referred by an independent physician or practitioner qualified to assess and diagnose disorders related to functional impairment, including:

- Primary care physician with family practice, internal medicine, or pediatric specialty
- Board certified or board eligible physician with specialty in developmental behavioral pediatrics, neurodevelopmental pediatrics, pediatric neurology, adult or child psychiatry
- Child psychologist

The referral must include a comprehensive diagnostic evaluation (CDE) performed according to national evidence-based practice standards. CDEs may be performed by a multidisciplinary team or individual practitioner. In either case, the CDE must be led by a licensed practitioner working within their scope of practice. The CDE must include assessment findings and treatment recommendations appropriate to the recipient. For example, the CDE may include data from behavioral reports by parents, guardians, and/or teachers; diagnostic testing related to recipients' development, behavior, hearing, and/or vision; genetic testing; and/or other neurological and/or medical testing.

Some services may be subject to additional coverage criteria as specified in section 4.0.

...

4.0 Coverage Information

4.1 General Criteria

Florida Medicaid covers services that meet all of the following:

- Are determined medically necessary
- Do not duplicate another service
- Meet the criteria as specified in this policy

4.2 Specific Criteria

Florida Medicaid covers the following BA services in accordance with the applicable Florida Medicaid fee schedule(s), or as specified in this policy:

4.2.1 Behavior Assessment and Behavior Plan

A behavior assessment must be conducted prior to the initiation of behavior analysis interventions. The assessment must identify behavioral deficits that interfere with a major life activity including the events and subsequent interactions that elicit and sustain targeted behavior.

The initial assessment must include the administration, scoring, and reporting of two core standardized behavior instruments, as follows:

- Vineland-3 Comprehensive Parent Interview Form Including Maladaptive Behavior Domain, for all recipients
- Behavior Assessment System for Children, Third Edition, Parenting Relationship Questionnaire (BASC-3 PRQ), for all recipients 2 years old and less than 19 years old

The complete scoring report, including outcome measure scores, must be submitted with service prior authorization requests. Additional assessment tools may be used at the Lead Analyst's discretion.

The behavior plan identifies intervention strategies that are likely to eliminate, mitigate or replace the behavior to produce change sufficient to reengage the recipient in the major life activity. The plan must include specific behavior goal(s), intervention strategies for each goal, anticipated timeframes that are of sufficient duration to address the targeted behavior, and how the ongoing progress of intervention strategies will be reported.

The behavior plan must reflect the requested authorization period (up to six months).

A reassessment and updated behavior plan to renew prior authorization for continued services must be completed at least every six months. The core instruments must be included with reassessments every 12 months.

More frequent assessments must be conducted when:

- New behavior emerges that interferes with a recipient's participation in a major life activity
- Additional BA services are medically necessary and are likely to address the emergent behavior

A full reassessment may be requested if there is a change in provider; however, a change of a practitioner status (e.g., an RBT becoming certified as a BCaBA) is not grounds for conducting a reassessment or updating a behavior plan.

4.2.2 Behavior Analysis Interventions

Florida Medicaid covers up to 40 hours per week of BA intervention services as indicated in the recipient's prior-authorized behavior plan. These services much

be delivered to reduce maladaptive behaviors and assist the recipient reach the best possible function level for that individual. Services include:

- Adaptive behavior treatment by protocol - behavior analysis services provided according to the authorized treatment protocol
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst, BCaBA, or RBT
- Adaptive behavior treatment with protocol modification – behavior analysis services provided with modifications to the authorized treatment protocol to address behavior and/or response changes or progress
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
- Group adaptive behavior treatment by protocol – behavior analysis services provided in a group setting according to the authorized treatment protocol
 - o Maximum group size is six recipients
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst, BCaBA, or RBT
- Group adaptive behavior treatment with protocol modification – behavior analysis services provided in a group setting with modifications to the authorized treatment protocol to address behavior and/or response changes or progress
 - o Maximum group size is six recipients
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
- Family adaptive behavior treatment guidance – parent, guardian, and/or caregiver training on the implementation of the behavior plan and intervention strategies
 - o The recipient may or may not be present depending upon clinical appropriateness.
 - o Services may be provided by Lead Analyst or BCaBA
 - o The Lead Analyst may provide up to two hours per week of training to parents or guardians via telemedicine in accordance with Rule 59G-1.057, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)

...

4.2.4 Discharge

Recipients receiving Florida Medicaid BA services who meet one or more of the following will be considered for discharge from services:

- The recipient is no longer eligible for BA services as outlined in the Florida Medicaid Behavior Analysis Services Coverage Policy, incorporated by reference in Rule 59G-4.125, F.A.C.
- The recipient no longer meets medical necessity criteria as defined in Rule 59G-1.010, F.A.C.
- The recipient no longer engages in maladaptive behaviors.
- Data indicates the frequency and severity of maladaptive behavior(s) or level functional impairment no longer poses a barrier to the recipient's ability to function in his/her environment.
- The level of functional impairment as expressed through behaviors no longer justifies continued BA services.

- Parent or guardian withdraws consent for treatment

4.3 Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment

As required by federal law, Florida Medicaid provides services to eligible recipients under the age of 21 years, if such services are medically necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect, a condition, or a physical or mental illness. Included are diagnostic services, treatment, equipment, supplies, and other measures described in sectioned 1905(a) of the Social Security Act, codified in Title 42 of the United States Code 1396d(a). As such, services for recipients under the age of 21 years exceeding the coverage described within this policy or the associated fee schedule may be approved, if medically necessary. For more information, please refer to Florida Medicaid's Authorization Requirements Policy.

...

5.0 Exclusion

5.1 General Non-Covered Criteria

Services related to this policy are not covered when any of the following apply:

- The service does not meet the medical necessity criteria listed in section 1.0
- The recipient does not meet the eligibility requirements listed in section 2.0
- The service unnecessarily duplicates another provider's service

5.2 Specific Non-Covered Criteria

Florida Medicaid does not cover the following as part of this service benefit:

- Any procedure or physical crisis management technique that involves the use of seclusion or manual, technical, or chemical restraint utilized to control behaviors
- Services for the delivery of recipient supervision, personal care assistance (e.g., acting as a 1:1 aid), companion, chaperone, or shadow regardless of activity or setting. This may include supports and services that are reimbursed through a different Florida Medicaid service benefit or are able to be provided by individuals without professional skills or training.
- Caregiver or childcare services
- Psychological testing, neuropsychology, psychotherapy, cognitive therapy, sex therapy, psychoanalysis, hypnotherapy, or long-term counseling
- Services funded under section 110 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
- Services not listed on the fee schedule
- Services on the same day as behavioral health overlay services*
- Services on the same day as therapeutic behavioral on-site services*
- Services on the same day as therapeutic group care services*
- Services provided simultaneously by more than one BA provider, unless determined to be medically necessary, prior authorized, and indicated in the approved behavior plan
- Travel Time

* These services include behavior analysis treatment.

Florida Medicaid may cover some services listed in this section through a different service benefit.

6.0 Documentation

6.2 Specific Criteria

Providers must maintain the following documentation in the recipient's file:

6.2.1 Referral Information

Original referral documentation must be maintained in the recipient's medical record.

6.2.2 Behavior Assessment and Behavior Plan

The behavior assessment and behavior plan must be signed by the Lead Analyst and the recipient's parent or guardian. Each behavior assessment and behavior plan must include:

- Patient information
- Reason for referral
- Medical and developmental history, including medications prescribed to ameliorate behaviors
- Relevant family history
- Clinical interview
- Review of recent assessments/reports (file review)
- Assessment procedures and results
- Behavior plan
 - o Treatment setting(s)
 - o Proposed treatment targets, goals, and objectives related to medically necessary behavioral interventions
 - o For each:
 - Definition in observable, measurable terms
 - Direct observation and measurement procedures
 - Current level (baseline)
 - Behavior reduction or acquisition procedures
 - Condition(s) under which behavior is to be demonstrated and mastery criteria
 - Date of introduction
 - Estimated date of mastery
 - Plan for generalization
 - Timely reporting of progress, including statements as to whether goal or objective is met; not met; or, modified (with explanation)
- Parent/guardian/caregiver training
 - o Proposed targets, goals, and objectives (as above)
 - o Training procedures
 - o Date of introduction
 - o Estimated date of mastery

- Number of units requested
 - o Number of units for each billing code
 - o Medical necessity for units requested
- Supervision plan, including name(s) of authorized supervisor(s)
- Care coordination with parents/caregivers, schools, state disability programs, and others as applicable
- Transition (fading) plan
- Crisis management plan
- Discharge plan

6.2.3 Assessment and Behavior Plan for Reauthorization and Continuation of Services

In addition to the documentation requirements indicated in 6.2.2, subsequent assessments and behavior plans for reauthorization and continuation of services must include:

- Data reflecting progress of all behaviors targeted for improvement. Each behavior under treatment must have its own data table and corresponding graph.
- A narrative discussion of progress and a statement of justification for continuation of care at the intensity level requested

If significant clinical progress is not made over the course of an authorized period, the provider must explain why clinically significant progress was not made and treatment changes to promote progress.

...

Pages 1 – 8 of BA Policy.

23. The Florida Medicaid Authorization Requirements Policy (“Authorization Policy”), incorporated by reference in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.053, provides as follows:

3.2.1 Continued Authorization Request

The QIO shall not deny or reduce the amount, frequency, or duration of a service that is already being provided, unless:

- The reduction is to correct for factual error or omissions in prior certifications.
- There is a documented improvement in the recipient’s medical condition.
- There is a documented change in the recipient’s circumstances.
- The reviewing physician determines the recipient will not gain any additional benefit by continuing services at the current level.

...

Page 3 of Authorization Policy.

24. In this case, Respondent terminated Petitioner's BA services. The NOO and NRD explained that Petitioner's request for services did not meet medical necessity as the treatment plan was not "[c]onsistent with generally accepted professional medical standards as determined by the Medicaid program, and not experimental or investigational" and not "Individualized, specific, and consistent with symptoms or confirmed diagnosis of the illness under treatment, and not in excess of the patient's needs." *See supra* ¶ 4-5.

25. As provided in the BA policy and the EPSDT requirements, the recipient must meet the medical necessity criteria as outlined in Fla. Admin. Code R. 59G-1.010. A component of medical necessity is that services must be "consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards." As outlined above, Dr. Darling provided credible and persuasive testimony identifying several instances where the revised Treatment Plan did not follow generally accepted standards of ABA. For example, the documentation provided for review did not show any decrease in maladaptive behaviors or increase in replacement behaviors and the provider failed to make necessary interventions or modifications to the treatment plan in order to effectively reduce maladaptive behaviors and increase replacement behaviors. Also, the treatment plan provided for the use of [REDACTED] for a recipient that was verbal. *See supra* ¶ 7, 9, 10. Thus, Respondent demonstrated that, based on the information in the record, the requested BA services are not "consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards." Because the services are not consistent with generally accepted professional medical standards, the critical element of medical necessity is not met. *See supra* ¶ 6.

26. In this case, Petitioner's provider recommended the continuation of BA services. However, the fact that a provider has prescribed, recommended, or approved medical or allied

care, goods, or services does not, in itself, make such care, goods or services medically necessary or a medical necessity or a covered service. See supra ¶ 21.


27. Accordingly, Respondent has established by a preponderance of the evidence that the requested BA services are not medically necessary. Looking at all the evidence relevant to the particular needs of Petitioner, the BA services at issue are not necessary to correct or ameliorate a defect or a physical and mental illness or condition.

28. Upon consideration of the testimony provided, Respondent's Composite Exhibit 1, Respondent's Composite Exhibit 2, and the applicable law and policies, the undersigned finds that Respondent proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's termination of BA services was correct.

DECISION

Respondent's termination of Behavior Analysis services is **AFFIRMED**. Petitioner's appeal based on Respondent's termination of Behavior Analysis services is **DENIED**.

DONE and **ORDERED** this 29th day of July 2024, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.


George L. Winslow, Jr.
24-FH1473
2024.07.29 10:42:51
-04'00'

GEORGE WINSLOW, Hearing Officer
Agency for Health Care Administration
Office of Fair Hearings
2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop # 11
Tallahassee, FL 32308-5407

NOTICE OF A RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY, ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

Copies Furnished To:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

AHCA Medicaid Hearing Unit
MedicaidHearingUnit@ahca.myflorida.com